

**Buckingham County
Board of Supervisors
Monthly Meeting
July 11, 2022**

At a regularly scheduled meeting of the Buckingham County Board of Supervisors held on Monday, July 11, 2022 at 6:00 p.m. in the Peter Francisco Auditorium of the Buckingham County Administration Complex the following members were present: Joe N. Chambers, Jr., Vice-Chairman; Dennis H. Davis, Jr.; Donald R. Matthews, Jr.; Harry W. Bryant, Jr.; and Danny R. Allen. T. Jordan Miles, III, Chairman and Donald E. Bryan were absent. Also present were Karl R. Carter, County Administrator; Kevin Hickman, Finance Director; Cheryl T. “Nicci” Edmondston, Zoning Administrator, E.M. Wright, Jr., County Attorney and Jamie L. Shumaker, IT Manager.

Re: Call to Order

Vice Chairman Chambers called the meeting to order.

Re: Establishment of a Quorum

Vice Chairman Chambers certified there was a quorum, 5 of 7 members were present and the meeting could continue.

Re: Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance

Supervisor Allen gave the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance was said by all who were in attendance.

Re: Approval of Agenda

Supervisor Allen moved, Supervisor Matthews seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to approve the agenda as amended.

Re: Approval of Minutes

Supervisor Bryant moved, Supervisor Matthews seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to approve the minutes of the June 13, 2022 meeting as presented.

Re: Approval of Claims

Supervisor Matthews moved, Supervisor Allen seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to approve the claims as presented.

Re: Announcements

There were no announcements.

Re: Presentations

Chambers: We will move up on the agenda for presentations. We have 4 presentations.

Re: Presentation of Resolution of Memoriam for Lynda Baber Anderson

Chambers: We ask the family of Lynda Baber Anderson to come up first. Is there anybody here for Mrs. Anderson? Lynda Baber Anderson?

There was no one in attendance for the presentation.

Re: Presentation of Resolution of Memoriam for Bonnie Lou LeSueur Wood

Chambers: Do we have anyone here for Mrs. Bonnie Lou LeSueur Wood? Was these people contacted?

There was no one in attendance for the presentation.

Lann: Jordan said he contacted them.

Chambers: Ok.

Re: Presentation of Resolution for Charles White

Chambers: We will move on to the Resolution for Mr. Charles White. I ask for everyone to come forward please.

Mr. White and his family came down to the front. Vice Chairman Chambers read the resolution aloud:

Resolution of Appreciation

For unto whomsoever much is given, of him shall much be required; and to whom men have committed much, of him they will ask the more. Luke 12:48 21st Century King James Version

WHEREAS, Charles W. White, Sr. was born on May 12, 1930, in Huntington, West Virginia and spent his formative years in Clifton Forge, Virginia. After graduating from Jefferson High School in 1951, Mr. White accepted an academic scholarship to St Paul College in Lawrenceville, Virginia. While attending St. Paul College he joined Alpha Phi Alpha Fraternity, played football for three years and graduated fourth in the class of 1955 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Industrial Education, specializing in building trade.

WHEREAS, Mr. White began his teaching career in Buckingham County in the Fall of 1955 by accepting a position to teach building trade at Carter G. Woodson High School. His teaching career in Central Virginia lasted thirty-four years (1955-1989) taking him from Buckingham County to Fluvanna and Prince Edward County schools. As a classroom teacher, Mr. White instilled in his students a sense of responsibility, integrity, and humanity.

WHEREAS, Mr. White was an active member of many civic organizations including, but not limited to, serving as founding member and President of the Buckingham Afro American Life & History Society; Life member of Alpha Phi Alpha Fraternity; Deacon and Sunday School teacher at Jerusalem Baptist Church; member of the Buckingham Voter's League; past Vice-President of the Buckingham Branch National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) and member of the Buckingham Chamber of Commerce. As one of the founding scout leaders of the first African American troop of Boy Scouts of America in Buckingham County, his leadership has won district, state, and national Scouting recognition as he mentored Cub Scouts, Boy Scouts, Explorer Scouts, and Eagle Scouts.

WHEREAS, Mr. White's unquenchable thirst for knowledge led him to publishing *The Hidden and Forgotten: Contributions of Buckingham Blacks to American History* in 1985 and the *Revised and Expanded Edition* in 2017. In 1987, he began publishing *The Informant*, a monthly newspaper, with circulation throughout the country. In 2005, Mr. White co-authored the book *Buckingham County in the Black America Series* of books. His efforts toward the preservation of the unique history of railroad building led to a grant from the Virginia Historical Society to establish the Lining Bar Gang, a living re-enactment ensemble that traveled throughout the country telling the railroad worker's story in demonstrations and work song chants.

WHEREAS, your family foundation in Buckingham County began with your meeting and marrying the "prettiest woman that you have laid eyes on," we thank you for establishing your roots here with your children Charles, Jr., Cheryl, Craig, and Christy.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the members of the Buckingham County Board of Supervisors do hereby recognize and express our deepest appreciation to Mr. Charles W. White, Sr. for his sixty-seven years of dedication to education of the people of Buckingham County and for his service to the communities of this county. May you continue to inspire and forever seek to answer those hidden and forgotten questions.

Chambers: I present this to you with great pleasure. Let me ask this question real quick. How many here was in Mr. White class? I was there in 65 and we thank God for him, him and his beautiful wife and may God bless both of you.

White: Well, thank you. This is a complete surprise. All I can say is to Him whom much is given much is expected.

Re: Presentation of Certificates honoring the Buckingham County Varsity Baseball Team

Chambers: Again we want to thank you and at this time we want to recognize our baseball team. We've got some great young men that done good in baseball. I ask the baseball team to come.

Edmondston: Hold on. Don't go anywhere. We're going to actually acknowledge each of you by your name to give you the credit due for your hard earned season and all I can say is Go Buckingham Knights because long ago I was one of y'all too. Just not on the baseball team. So sometimes when you're out there playing sports and working hard and sweating, you forget that other people here in your hometown are watching you. So it was a big deal because no matter how many years it's been or whether you're trying to get to the high school, the Knight spirit and the green and gold runs pretty deep guys.

Mrs. Edmondston called each member of the team and coaches down to receive their certificate.

Re: Public Comments

Chambers: So now we're back to public comments. Did anyone sign up for public comments? We got three minutes and if anyone that's speaking for a group got five minutes. That's right.

Lann: The By-Laws did away with the group five minutes.

Chambers: Call the first one.

Lann: We have 24 signed up. The first one is Chinsuk Henshaw. District 4.

Chinsuk Henshaw, District 4: My name is Chinsuk Henshaw, my husband, Mike and I own the land in District 4. This is gonna be my third, I guess voicing my opinion on different items through the county. I hope you guys will really listen. And what I'm trying to say is please vote yes, to begin developing an industrial gold mining ordinance. I hope that you agree that industrial gold mining would be devastating for our county. We have the right and responsibility to protect ourselves and our water, air and land from toxic trespass of metallic mining. Please start the process of taking a good look at

strong ordinance that will protect us, especially for our future county members. Please consider. Thank you.

Chambers: Thank you, ma'am.

Sherry Ragland, District 5: Members of the Board and Mr. Carter, thank you for this opportunity to speak this evening. My name is Sherry Ragland and I live in District 5. And Harry Bryant is my representative. I'm here tonight to ask that you support a mining ordinance. We all have a responsibility to protect our natural resources. And this Board can start that process by getting an ordinance in place now. The watershed or the land area where they are mining it has been conducted in the fifth district. Well the water flows directly and indirectly to the James River affecting not only our drinking water, but the aquifers and they all go to the James River and with the population downstream. I'm concerned for the health and welfare of my children's children. What are we trying to accomplish allowing outside companies to come in and trip our land without any accountability? When forests are harvested, we ask that landowners and companies be responsible for planting trees back. How can we replenish water that is not replenishable? Children are taught to protect their natural resources. We as adults need to protect these natural resources by putting into place practices and standards now and for the future. Not only for the people of the fifth district, but for all that live in potential mining areas in Buckingham County. Our governing powers have the capability to do things right now and not worrying about the repercussions down the road. Let this be the starting point to think ahead as to how this will affect our community within the next 30 to 50 years down the road and work on adopting a mining ordinance. Thank you.

Chambers: Thank you ma'am.

John Laury, District 6: Hello, my name is John W. Laury. I live in Slate River District. We don't want industrial gold mining in Buckingham County. We have the power to stop it right here in Buckingham with a strong ordinance. I ask you to give permission to the County Administrator and Attorney to move forward with looking into the proposed ordinance that would protect us and Buckingham from the toxic trespass of industrial metallic mining. Thank you.

Chambers: Thank you, sir.

Jeeva Abbate, District 5: Thank you, Supervisors. Good to see you all. Work folks and everyone. I'm Jeeva Abbate from District 5. Harry, Bryant is our Supervisor. And I'm here to speak about the gold mining issue. I had a meeting with Harry and some of our community members. And we're working together with the supervisors to do what we can to craft a good ordinance that represents the idea of protecting our water, and our land and our livestock. So I've done research since I heard about this, and I have yet to find a clean goldmine. The common practices for this company Aston Bay from Canada to

make a big ballyhoo about this, get investors to buy in, sell the whole thing off to a gold mining company, who then will mine it. Then when there's a mess left of ore and toxic material, they go bankrupt. And guess who has to pay for the cleanup and the water that people can't drink? It's the county and everybody else. So I'm probably preaching to the choir, because I know some of you have looked into this. But I urge you to move the ordinance forward to find the right text and the right words to make this work for all of us. Because I know you're all interested in this too. So thank you very much. Let's move the ordinance forward. And Harry, thank you for coming over and sitting down with us and reviewing this. Appreciate you. Thank you.

Chambers: Thank you, sir.

Ernie Moore, District 5: Board of Supervisors, I too am the executive director out in Yogaville. We too are for moving the proposal of the gold mining ordinance forward. I have serious concerns about our water and all the other things that Sherry said. Sherry Ragland really laid it out for me, and the rest and many of the others that you'll hear tonight. So thank you, Harry, for helping us understand the process. And let's move this ordinance forward. Thank you.

Chambers: Thank you, sir.

David Ball, District 3: Good evening, David Ball, District 3. How are you, gentlemen this evening? First off, I would like to welcome and invite all of you to come down to Curdsville on August 1st. We have our general meeting. We'll have a program. And then to say that we're going to have a special program on August 6, for the kids Saturday afternoon from 11 to 4. So we're gonna have a lot of learning events, scouts will be helping. And that's going to be a really good opportunity for kids to come families to come and be food activities, things for them to do. So that's going to be a nice time event. Now to go to a couple other things, I hear a lot about the gold mining. And I know that until there's actually some activity from the gold mine, it's not going to happen. There's a lot of drilling and exploring that they legally can get past doing. But until they actually have completed that and they've decided whether or not they're going to proceed forward and present a comprehensive plan. And I do agree the county should have some sort of ordinance, not against recreational panners and stuff like that, but against massive mining activities that will disrupt the whole nature of this county to in an adverse way. Also, I think, aside from the gold panning, another issue that really needs to come up before the county that they need to get serious about and that's the fact that in the CDC, which is development group of counties that meet and Buckingham is one of that group, they do not have a solar policy, I believe Buckingham is the only county in that group of 10, that do not have a solar policy. And without a solar policy, it's an open door for companies to come in and put up solar fields. And the worst part of it is when the solar fields pan out, there is nothing about the disposition. If a company that put it up that's running it or owns it folds up on that it's the same thing as the gold mine. So you're gonna have a problem with solar panels that are environmentally a problem. If there is no proper way for removal and

disposal of these from the environment, they will continue to deteriorate and contaminate the environment. So that's another consideration that I think is paramount for the Board to enact it and perhaps maybe at this point, if you can even do it, put a moratorium until you have a policy in place. Thank you.

Chambers: Thank you, sir.

David Waters, District 5: Thank you, sir. Good evening. I'm gonna sound much like the other commenters before me. My name is David Waters and I live in District 5. On tonight's agenda, you will be asked by Administrator Carter to consider possibly developing a gold mining ordinance. Mr. Bryant is my representative in District 5 and I thank him very much for bringing this to the Board. Please vote yes to begin this process. This will allow the County Administrator and Attorney to take a good look at their proposed community Bill of Rights, which presents strong common sense protections from the toxic trespass that we know would come with industrial metallic mining. I'm speaking for myself and over 800 people represented on petitions from across the county that have been spread around by the Virginia Community Rights Network and the Friends of Buckingham who are partnered on this important Project. Perhaps you think that if industrial scale gold mining does happen here, they won't be for a couple of years. I will ask that you still start this conversation so that we were prepared for that possibility. I hope that you agree that industrial gold mining would be devastating for our county. As we talk to people about across Buckingham while collecting signatures, we found that most we're not aware of the 70 some abandoned gold mines in Buckingham from the 1800s that are full of mercury. If we can't get those cleaned up, why would we invite more industrial poisoning? The local Buckingham special use permit would be the first tool of many the applying industry would need to navigate. We know we have the power to stop it right there. We want to get out ahead of possible industrial gold mining or other metallic mining companies by having a strong protective ordinance in place. The proposed ordinance we were bringing to the table was modeled after existing law adopted unanimously by the town council of Halifax in 2008 to protect them against possible uranium mining upstream in Pittsylvania County. We have now provided all the supervisors with a copy of both the ordinance proposed for Buckingham and Halifax's ordinance. We would be delighted to discuss this with you and why we feel this would be the best protection possible. As the state study is showing us the laws in place do not protect us. We think you too will be inspired with a strong innovative approach. There are over 200 communities across America that have adopted a community Bill of Rights. In addition to Halifax for example, the Pittsburgh City Council past one to stop fracked gas within fracked gas wells from drilling within the city limits. We too can do this. Thank you for voting yes to move this conversation forward tonight. And thank you for protecting us.

Heidi Berthoud, District 5: Good evening. My name is Heidi Dhivya Berthoud and I live in District 5. And thank you very much, Mr. Bryant for bringing this forward the issue of the gold mining ordinance. So I asked you to please vote yes to begin developing an industrial gold mining ordinance. Industrial

mining could bankrupt our county. Thank you for starting the process of taking a good look at what a strong ordinance could do to protect us. Right now Aston Bay, the Canadian metallic mining company, mining exploratory company sees Buckingham County in particular and Virginia in general, as an open highway of opportunity because we have no laws to protect us nor deter them. The study being done by the state has confirmed that. The county has the power to issue or deny the first special use permit and a long list of required permits. Without that for first permit, they can go no further. A group of us has created an ordinance that would be a strong protective measure to prevent a company from getting a permit and of course that's our goal. Would you agree that people have a right not to be poisoned? Personal Property and corporate property can't be trespassed on so we assert that a person's body, farm and wild animals bodies or any ecosystem should have the same rights and must not be trespassed on with poisons. So we know that we have the right and responsibility you keep hearing that. And I think it's just a really good thing to remember that we have the right and responsibility to make decisions locally to protect our communities. The right and responsibility to protect ourselves and our water, air, land from the toxic trespass of industrial metallic mining. Our national and state constitutions provide bedrock support for these rights. We are taking responsibility by asserting those rights. The ordinance we are proposing requires a metallic mining to prove it first, before getting a permit, before getting a permit the company would first have to provide proof that at least one metallic mine did not cause harm. This simple but brilliant, common sense idea is inspired by past state law in Wisconsin and pending law in Minnesota. We think you will like what you see. Please say yes to begin exploring possibilities that would strongly protect what we love, our home. Thank you very much for listening.

Nelson Bailey, District 5: Good evening. My name is Nelson Bailey. I live in the District 5 and this is my neighbor. Captain of Buckingham ship. Mr. Carter. I thank him. He's had a true course and a steady course. It's nice to have him appointed. I would like to say recently, the country of Nigeria had the biggest gold discovery in the entire, entire world. It beats everything. Buckingham, California, Australia, there's no need. If you don't believe it, I will send you the article from the Commodity Times. The discovery. We don't need it. We have 415 years, 14 years of gold reserve. Why do we need to dig a hole and pollute the ground and our water or more gold? Can't eat gold. Can't drink polluted water. What's the matter with the folks? Hugh, nobody understand that. And it's like, why are we letting solar farms come in with no pond permits or settlement pond permits? Nobody knows anything about that. The first solar farm went through here like hot knife through butter. And nobody heard of a sediment pond. Nobody. We didn't. Maybe you did. You know but you didn't tell us. What happened here? We didn't get the memo. Y'all did. It's like let's pay attention and let's tighten up. But two round turns and a half pitch on it. Thank you.

Mindy Zlotnick, District 5. I'll just take a breath after that. My name is Mindy Zlotnick. And I live in District 5. I want to ask you to move forward on consideration of developing a gold mining ordinance. I'm quoting some thoughts from another community member that I think are relevant to this evening. We were in conversation and she said you might think it's an unnecessary since no new gold mines have

been proposed in Buckingham County. I hope that you'll consider the ordinance as a first step in preparing for industrial gold mining that could be proposed in the future. I know some of you think industrial gold mining will never happen here. And if that's the case, then I ask you to consider implementing the ordinance anyway. Because if that's the case, there's no harm in having an ordinance. And I know some of you think that if industrial gold mining does happen here, it won't for a number of years. If that's the case, I still ask you to consider implementing the ordinance now so that we're prepared for that eventuality. And as you consider the ordinance please also consider the great number of Buckingham residents who already support a rights based ordinance that has been written by a group of local residents. I'm one of over 800 people in the county who has signed the petition in support of the ordinance to protect us from toxic trespass. I appreciate the work you do for the county and we're counting on you.

Chambers: Okay, thank you, maam.

Donald Brett Gormus, District 7: Members of the Board. My name is Donald Brett Gormus. All y'all know me as Brett. District 7 under Danny Allen. First I wanted to express to the community the thanks that we had for the show out for the search and rescue for Waldy yesterday. I've never seen in the 15 years Fire and Rescue together. So I just want to thank everybody that was involved in that. I just wanted to comment on the proposed housing development that was brought up. I know I'm late to it, a lot of the talk was last month, but I wasn't able to be here because of work. I wanted to thank you for the efforts that you have taken to get us to this point. I'm a lifelong resident of Buckingham, I have a family of my own that starting this year. I want to see this community grow and not stay stale and stagnant. Like it is now. Having businesses come in, quality businesses that will stay, we've had businesses that come most of them have left because there's no supporting base or public to come there and shop. It seems to be like nice houses and decent land. And as far as that, but I am a big proponent for public safety. Most of y'all know I've been in fire and EMS for over 15 years now. The tax base that this would bring in will support our emergency services as you know, we've taken over and is rapidly growing. I like to see money used for emergency services, the fire department and infrastructure as far as like the Youth League, you know, have something for our citizens to actually do something, increase our educational base. But I'd like to see that tax revenue be used for that and better our community. But I just wanted to thank you all for your efforts that you've made to get us this far. And hope we continue going. Thank you.

Chambers: Thank you, sir.

Harold Sackett, District 5: Thank you Board members. I'm in support of a mining ordinance. I've been in Buckingham County since 1979. And a landowner in fifth district. I just want to put a little historical perspective of how important water is here in Buckingham. In the Civil War, the James River on one side, the Slate River, the Appomattox River had kept the conflict out of this county, largely. And it's

very much a rural place. I grew up in Boise, Idaho, and just some ways north of us was a town called Leadville. It had a lead mine and a lead smelter. And it took three generations of birth defects before they woke up to the fact that those metal mining operations were polluting the air and water. We don't want to wind up in that situation. These multinational companies they have no investment in our homes here, in our families that are our future. They're all the after that dollar so let's put the regulations in place so that we're the ones in control. Thank you very much.

Chambers: Thank you sir.

Diane Gilliland, District 4: Thank you. Good evening. My name is Diane Gilliland. I don't know where she came up with that at but District 4. First off, I'd like to say how sorry I am and my condolences to Landon's family. There has been a devastating tragedy in our community last night, and this morning that will impact this family for the rest of their lives. And I'm so sorry, my thoughts and prayers are with them. Our beautiful county has been rocked by this. Life will never be the same for this family. Just like if the housing development goes up, our beautiful county will never be the same. It will drown and never be the same great county that we all love. As a kid I watched Campbell County go from a 2 stoplight county to an adult 7 stoplight County and counting. All because of a Big Lots came in. A Big Lots. And the families and the community disappear. The farming community. Just like what will happen here. This is a place stories are made up of. This is a place where we do have a community of people that will show up in time of need for their neighbors. And if they start and end if you start choking it out with all the gold mining, solar enclosures. I can't call it a farm because it kills everything around it. The housing development, our beautiful rural farming community will be lost forever and ever. And it will only be yall's fault. I also had a comment on my Facebook page from Mr. Matthews' wife the other day saying that she would love to live in a subdivision like this. My question would be want to sell your form and your land and build it there. That way it's closer to Farmville and if the homes don't sell and the government puts illegals in there, then that can be yall's problems, not Buckingham's. Sometimes growth is not a good thing. It will choke the life out of this beautiful farming community like you've never seen. So please stop trying to kill our farming community that we love. Being a small community means people helping people. They come together like family. If you add this housing project and lots more people to the community, the small town comes together in time of need will stop. The small town pouring out love will stop and there will not be a community of love any longer. And once this is gone, you can't get it back. Just like Landon's family. No more hugs. No more kisses. No more laughs.

Chambers: Thank you for your comments, maam.

Gilliland: No more joy.

Chambers: Thank you for your comments your time is up.

Harvey Shelton, District 5: Members of the Board of Supervisors and Mr. Carter. I'm Harvey Shelton and I live in District 5. Harry's my supervisor. I'm very concerned about industrialized gold mining. I'm here to request that you will vote yes to begin developing an industrial gold mining ordinance for the county. I believe that gold mining will be very harmful. A strong ordinance would protect our health, water and land. Your cooperation is much appreciated. Thank you very much.

Chambers: Thank you sir.

Alan Binstock, District 5: Board of Supervisors. I'm Alan Binstock, in District 5. Thank you for this opportunity to speak to you all. I'm recent to the county. I'm new. I've been here a couple of years, two and a half years. And I've watched carefully, my background is urban planner, campus planner for NASA for 22 years up at Goddard Space Flight Center. And I supported my local community in Maryland while I was there, and I saw there a microcosm of what you face here as well. There's an opportunity here at every turn to weigh increase taxes, tax income, we need that I recognize it too. I see it. And I know that the challenge is always going to be the pressure upon you to make the tough calls. There's always going to be another proposal I do want to say regarding any kind of industrial or metallic mining in our county, that's a tough one. And it's one that I support that you go forward with the ordinance. It's not the place for us to be because it's too endemic. It's not something that's localized like a Pizza Hut. It's going to affect all of us. And if you look at water table maps, nobody's safe. What's that expression, not in my back yard? Well, it's in all of our backyards. So I plead that you support the ordinance and save our quality of life. Thank you.

Chambers: Thank you, sir.

Joyce Gooden, District 6: Good evening. Tonight I'm addressing Buckingham County's Emergency Medical Services response to a medical emergency at Fork Union Baptist Church at 5932 Dixie Hill Road. The date was Saturday 25th of June 2022. A medical emergency occurred at this church at approximately 2:28pm and an ambulance was requested through the 911 system. There was no miscommunication on the part of the caller with regards to the location of the emergency, or the immediate need for an ambulance as a caller was a deacon of the church and his daughter actually was the physician that was overseeing the medical emergency. I was present when the emergency occurred and knew that EMS had been requested. I knew the locations of two units that might respond. Dillwyn is about five miles away and Glenmore is about 11.8 miles away. And yes, I drove the distance to be sure. After socializing with a few people, I was ready to leave the church. However, I realized that the ambulance had not arrived, nor did I hear any emergency vehicle in route. At approximately 2:48pm. I called the Buckingham County Sheriff's office to request the estimated time of arrival of the ambulance. I was informed that no ambulance was in route. That there were already two units out so none were available in Buckingham County. That they had reached out to Appomattox and Cumberland to see if

they could respond and they were waiting on a response from these two counties. My response was that we should take the person to the emergency room rather than just waiting on them to locate an EMS unit. I was surprised when the person I was speaking to requested that I let her know if we no longer needed the ambulance. I informed the doctor that no ambulance was in route and that I had a large vehicle and could transport someone if they needed to lie down. By 3:06pm. I was in route to Sentara Southside Community Hospital emergency room. 3:06 was the time I notified the sheriff's office that I was taking the patient to the emergency room. By 5:30pm. I was notified by the patient's family member that the patient had been life flighted to Lynchburg General for stroke evaluation and care. Simply put, why weren't we notified that no ambulance was available? We lost at least 38 valuable minutes waiting for something that was not available. And if Dillwyn has four units, why did the county max out at two being deployed? Just questions and I did give a copy of this to Cody this afternoon I mean this evening and he did correct me he said they only had two minutes available that day. Thank you.

Theresa McManus, District 2: Good evening, gentlemen, I'm here to speak about the residential development plan. Did you all get my email? It was posted...

Chambers: Yes, maam we got it.

McManus: I did ask Nicci to send it to you because I know you don't have an email so I made sure I took care of you. Like the yellow on you by the way.

Chambers: Thank you, maam.

McManus: I was told to do my homework which I did do. Every number I got but two came from you people, came from your board meetings, came from your videos. The only two numbers that did not come from you was the 1.9. That was for the amount of students but that came from the federal government. And I gave you the benefit of the doubt with the 30,000 income so that you could get more revenue. But if people don't understand what I'm talking about, after the informational meeting of April 11, 2022, concerning the proposal for residential development of the County Industrial Park site, off of Wingo Road, I ran the numbers of revenue and liability for the county school obligation. Just the county school obligation. The figures confirmed that the proposal was not in the best interest of the citizen taxpayers of this county and it will require other property to subsidize it unless a special tax is added to the property above the standard tax rate, as has been done with other developments. Proffers. Have you looked at any proffers? Have you looked at Prince William's proffers? Have you looked at Henrico's? Have you looked at Stanford? Have we got proffers for Mr. Lloyd that will every year be collected from him to cover the deficits? I think not. Current national average 1.9 school aged children per household at 150 households adds 285 students to the County School System yearly with a county contribute station of \$3,000 per student, your budget. Not mine. Makes a liability of \$855,000 per year every year. There was a meeting with Mr. Dunnivant asked Mr. Lloyd, the developer what the developments potential tax

revenue would be for this county. Mr. Lloyd responded at build out it would be 156,000. That brings us to a deficit of \$672,750 a year. This is not tax neutral to the people of Buckingham County. You want to put a goldmine in, how many people are going to come? Let's look at the gas prices. How many people from Richmond and Charlottesville want to come to a sleepy little town? All right, what we don't want it to be sleeping anymore. We want to have bars and restaurants and we want to have theaters and we're going to change our county because we want to bring these people in. So here's the scenario Mr. Lloyd buys it. He strips the property of its \$350,000 of my wood, your wood. He's going to take the profit from it. He's going to default. He's going to bring in a bunch of contractors to come in and

Chambers: Thank you maam. Your time is up.

Ken Nadle, District 5: I'm Ken Nadle from District 5. We just wanted to...

Chambers: I didn't get your name sir. Can you state your name again please?

Nadle: Ken Nadle. I just wanted to lend my voice along with my neighbors who spoke so well about the gold mine and to ask that to say yes, please consider proposal to investigate the gold mine and that's it I'll make it very short.

Chambers: Thank you sir.

Marie Flowers, District 3: Hi, Marie Flowers, District 3. First of all, I want to support Mr. Ball in his suggestion very strongly that you all develop regulations for the solar. Okay, I signed the petition along with many citizens in District 3 in support of the proposed ordinance that would protect us from industrial metallic mining and many of those 800 signers are from District Three. Allow this effort to move forward with the County Administrator and Attorney. And one of the important words in my little speech here is US. All of us. We're all in this together. And it's my belief that you all are responsible. You're first responsibility is to protect the welfare of people. All of us. Thank you.

Chambers: Thank you, maam.

Maynard Ritchie, District Six: Good evening, gentlemen. My name is Maynard Ritchie, I live up in District 6, on Goughtown Road. Basically, I'm hearing a lot about county growth, tax revenues and all that. And, I understand that. I mean, I understand you're never going to stop growth. 40 years ago, I grew up, I grew up in a in a toxic waste dump. Literally in Illinois. We had a steel mill, and a coal plant operated steel mill. We had water that we couldn't drink. Our water came out the Mississippi River. As a matter of fact. We had air we couldn't breathe. They looked like fog. So I know what the industrial mining and all can do. That steel mill came along a long time before I did. And people died young from cancers, things like that. 40 years ago, I lived in Stafford County, when Stafford County had 35,000

people in it. And I listened to the same arguments going on about growth, that if we allow these homes and things in, you know, it's going to help the tax base and folks taxes are not going to go up and we need it for revenue, I understand revenue. Understand life ain't cheap, but I'm gonna tell you something, those taxes by 1990, from 1982 to 1990, Stafford County had tripled. And now I think there's almost a million people in Stafford. They got houses on top of houses. And they didn't keep up with the infrastructure. Like I said, you can't stop growth. And everybody wants to come to... I've lived here for 30 years. 30 years. And I've always kind of liked it here. So always kind of quiet. But it's everybody wants to come to a quiet community. And I get it. They did the same thing coming out of DC and Maryland, Northern Virginia, Stafford. Only thing that I would ask, and I'm not going to stand up here insult anybody's common sense or intelligence, is as we move forward as a community, let's just proceed with caution. And take things as they come and not overload ourselves with mines or solar farms or 1000 unit housing developments. Let's think about what we're doing for the future just come along behind us. That's all I got.

Chambers: Thank you, sir.

Sharon Bradford, District 5: Good evening, everyone. I'm here to support the ordinance against the gold mining for all the reasons that all the incredible people gave before me. So please put that together and protect all of us and the nature and the land and the water. Do the right thing. Thank you.

Chambers: Thank you, maam.

Kenda Hanuman, District 5: Good evening. I'm Kenda Hanuman from District 5. Everything's been said there's nothing more I have to say other than please let Administrator Carter and Attorney Wright go forward and look at this ordinance against the goldmine. Thank you.

Chamber: Thank you maam.

Chad Oba, District 6: Good evening, thank you for waiting for me. I sent emails to all of you, including you, Mr. Chambers through Karl. But I understood it wasn't going to go on the record. So that's why I showed up tonight last minute. So I'm not going to go into why you should not have a gold mine. What I will say you've heard a lot of that tonight. So I would say ditto, ditto ditto to everything that's been said, really. What I will say is this ordinance is important because it will protect us from things such as industrial gold mines, and give some power to a locality, to say no, and to protect the people here. And it's my understanding that Mr. Wright represents you, but that you represent us. So I'm asking you to seriously look into this ordinance to instruct Mr. Wright, and your administrator to look into it. And then vote yes. And then we can have a public hearing. And we can all talk about all the many reasons, some of many of which you've already heard. But this is a really good document. I've

looked at it. It's legal. Other counties have adopted something similar to it. I ask that you, I strongly urge you to consider it. Thank you.

Chambers: Thank you.

Quinn Robinson, District 4: Good evening, gentlemen, Mr. Carter. My name is Quinn Robinson. I live out in Andersonville, District 4. Mr. Miles is my supervisor. And I think Mrs. Ragland started things off with a very good summary of all the reasons why they should not go forward in terms of gold mining. And I'm not exactly clear on what an ordinance would do, as opposed to just an outright prohibition, but I would favor the latter. My family's been out Andersonville since 1852, in some form or another, and I just came back here after retiring to be the caretaker of the cemetery and watch things grow hoping for a difference and the dynamic that Buckingham has not had in the past. I'm not sure we're there yet. But I would ask you to postpone this, if you're not going to shut it down. But anything with mining, the cyanide, mercury, and those light metals, does no good for us. It's a hazard and it's something you can't repair. As the papers have noted, we've got abandoned gold mines full of Mercury and cyanide, things like that. And it speaks poorly of the county for not defending against those things should they go ahead. Now those other mines were done many, many years ago. So let's beat it to the punch and get it done right. There was something else in terms of why this happens. We just survived real challenge from Dominion Power about the pipeline. And they were, I think they were wrong in so many accounts and the courts proved and proved that later. But this board or its predecessors, supported much of what they did and collaborated with it. It's time to stop that. Be critical. Be critical of what these people are doing. And I wouldn't trust Weyerhaeuser any more than I would Dominion, and Dominion was getting ready to dump coal ash into the James, which was drinking water for many people. Anyway, please be thoughtful about it. And take a stand, don't let them push you around anymore. It's like whatever money they've got will be all right, you got to raise the taxes a couple of pennies every four or five years. We'll survive it, but we cannot survive what they're asking for. Thank you very much.

Re: VDOT Road Matters, Scott Frederick, Division Resident Engineer

Chambers: Next on our agenda is VDOT Road Matters, Mr. Scott Frederick.

Frederick: Evening Board and Chairman, or Vice Chair and County Administrator Carter, I want to first update you on the debris piles. We put that out to bid once and the bids came in higher than expected. So we put it back out to bid with more options on it and it closes on the 14th of July. So hopefully after that we get good bids that we can live with. And we'll be awarding it to somebody to get rid of those piles. So I know everybody's ready to have them off the right away, myself included. The culvert that I mentioned was being replaced last month on 718 has completed. The road is back open. I think they're gonna come back in in about a month and do some more asphalt work. I'm not 100% sure

on their schedule there. But at least the roadway is back open to traffic again. Following up more from last meeting. We've had a lot of storms this month, we had one last week where we were out till two o'clock in the morning cleaning up trees that just keep getting blown down. But the Supervisor Matthews you brought up some trees that have been laying on the sides of the road for a while. Specifically on High View Road and Curdsville Road. I know we've gone back and got that stuff cleaned up. So we're still trying to play catch up on the new stuff that keeps coming down. But I think we're in a better place now than we were last month. You also brought up a leaning tree on Francisco Road. And we went out there and kind of surveyed the situation. I think we ended up taking down about 17 trees out there. So we don't want to go out there at two o'clock in the morning and get any of them so anytime we can strategically get them down we try to do our best with that. But thank you for letting us know. We've been, Mr. Bryant you brought up the brush on 602. And I kind of checked where we are on brush. We're strategically working our way through the county. Both area headquarters had been running there boom axes as much as they can. I'm not exactly sure if they've worked their way through 602 at this point.

Bryant: It's in Glenmore coming up 56 brush is in the road really.

Frederick: Yeah, I agree. We've got a lot of work to do on brush, but I just wanted to let you know we're attacking it as best we can. So Mr. Allen, you brought up Randolph Creek. It came off the six year plan accidentally. I did look into it. It was on the previous plan which was approved. And when we changed the format the way we used to...we're putting the roads in the comment section under one UPC. We switched back to have an each road having its own UPC, but somehow when we transposed one system to the other, we missed that one but we're going to put it back in the plan next year right where it used to be. So thank you for catching that and bringing it to my attention. Speaking of rural rustic work, we've completed Hunting Shack Road and Paynes Pond Road. The surfaces are down. The only thing left to do is to put up the 35 mile an hour speed limit signs. And then I just wanted to mention that we know we have a clogged pipe on Paynes Pond Road. We're gonna get that open back up real soon, just in case anybody's mentioned it to you. And we will be starting the rural rustic work on Virginia Mill Road in the very near future. I'll be hoping to tell you it's finished at the next meeting. And I'm kind of kind of shift gears here. Two years ago, I presented some crash data and contributing factors for those crashes, I took last year off because of COVID. There was about a two month period where people really didn't drive a whole lot in 2020. So I felt like that data might not be worth talking about. But the 2021 numbers are finally posted. I use the DMVs website to get them because they have the information on all the different types of crashes. It's very sorted and easy to navigate through on their website for anybody. So I'd encourage anybody to look at it, if they'd like to. But it's somewhat well-known there was 968 fatalities on our roadways in 2021. We put it up on our variable message boards, or there's messaging about it on our social media. But I think what's not very well known is that of those statewide fatalities there was alcohol was a contributing factor in 247 of them. 334 of them had unrestrained either passengers or drivers. And then 445 of them speed was calculated or they figured out that speed was a

contributing factor in 445 of them. So as you go through their website, you can go down to the specific county. So since I'm in Buckingham, tonight, I felt like I should share the numbers for Buckingham County. There was 181 total crashes, not necessarily fatalities. There was six fatalities involved in those 181 crashes. So then for contributing factors, four of them had alcohol related. Four of them had speed related, and five of them had unrestrained either drivers or passengers. So as far as the contributing factors, I feel like if we could eliminate those we could we could drastically reduce the amount of fatalities that we take, or that we you know, we have each year on our roadways. So the DMV is website says that seatbelt usage is at 81.7%. That's like roughly four out of five people wear their seatbelt. So another statistic, this is off the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, just specific to seatbelts says if you're in the front seat of a car, you're 45% or you reduce your risk of fatality by 45% just by wearing your seatbelt in the front seat of a car. So I'm glad there's a decent sized crowd here tonight, I like to get this information out in front of as many people as possible. So last little thing about numbers here, I'm a little bit of a numbers guy. So that's one of my favorite presentations as far as just getting to talk about numbers. If you take the number of licensed drivers, which is 10,524 in Buckingham County, and then you figure out the number of fatalities, it gives you a death rate of 0.57 per 1000 drivers. So even when you're looking at the numbers off the website, you see all the different decimal points. Like to put that into terms you got to divide it but that's one out of 1755 I think that's kind of a sobering thought because that's in about a year's time I think I meet about that many people somewhere around 1500 to 2000 people. So that's like right in that neighborhood one of those drivers and in the numbers on crunch right here dies in a fatality so you know that just kind of brings it on home for me. So share this message please to wear your seatbelt, don't drink and drive and don't speed either and that's probably the one I struggle with the most. So at this point, I'll shift gears back to the normal meeting and open it up for questions, comments, concerns,

Chambers: Does any Board member have a road matter for Mr. Frederick?

Allen: I got some. We talked about it a little bit but I just want him to tell y'all about it a little bit too. We get to SUP's in Arvon by the Health Center. There is three driveways, commercial driveways that's gonna come up. And with the VDOT distancing it is 660 feet apart. And I don't think we got that much driveway distance in between each one. That's something we need to look at when we look at these next SUP's. I just wanted to make sure, if we got any questions you can ask him. He can tell you what's what. But that's gonna come up here shortly.

Chambers: Mr. Matthews?

Matthews: 660 feet from one side of the driveway to the next driveway, if it's a commercial entrance?

Allen: Yes, and we're gonna have three of them right in a row. You've got the Health Center, then you're gonna have the Dollar General or Dollar Tree we are talking about coming up. And then Mr.

Stoltzfus' business is gonna come behind that. So you only have three of them. So it's something we will have to work out because I doubt it's gonna be... I don't have a measurement.

Matthews: Is that set in stone or is that something that they can petition the state, VDOT to look at?

Frederick: I think that the answer to your question is yes to the ... there's options. The 666 feet, 660 feet is for a full driveway where you can make a right in, right out, a left and a left out. So you could go down to 330 feet, if you can make that driveway just strictly right turn in and right turn out. So you would deny lefts. Or there's other options that are I think are in the Virginia Code, where if that corridor already is like a business corridor where there's already sub standards spacing on driveways, then you can match what's already existing. So I don't know the land up there real well to know what the spacing is on all the driveways. It can be reviewed. I think there'll be another option that maybe have the business owners come together and do interconnectivity where there's one driveway that feeds all three. So I don't think they're limited just by our standards on being able to have a business.

Matthews: Do you have other than an email, like a cell phone that you could receive pictures that I can send something to.

Frederick: Yes, sir. I can give you my card. I think it's the one that I think me and you've talked on it before. That's mine.

Matthews: I've got some pictures I'd like to send you. It's one of the roads that still hasn't been cleaned up.

Frederick: Okay. I'm happy to take a look at them.

Matthews: Okay. And there's some other problems on that road too. Some washing. We've had some bad rains from storms this past week, so it's only gonna get worse unless we get some something in it to stop the washing so bad.

Frederick: Yes, sir.

Matthews: Thank you, Mr. Frederick. Appreciate it.

Davis: I've already talked to him. A few potholes on 15.

Frederick: Thank you Board.

Re: Public Hearing Case 21-SUP298 Ike Yoder

Chambers: And we go down to public hearings. Mrs. Edmondston, the first one?

Edmondston: Yes, sir. The first case before you is the public hearing regarding Case 22-SUP298. Landowner and applicant is Ike Yoder. The property is located at Tax Map 194 Parcel 15 has about 122 acres and it is at 7041 Crump Town Road, Farmville in the Curdsville Magisterial District. This is in A-1 district. This case was introduced to you last month. The applicant does wish to obtain a special use permit for the purpose of operating a sawmill. This case of course came through the Planning Commission initially in November. It was a request to suspend consideration. Mr. Yoder and his engineer Chip Coleman with Maxey & Associates are available this evening to address any additional questions or concerns regarding traffic and noise pollution and different things of that nature as well. We do have some individuals signed up for the public hearing, Vice-Chair Chambers.

Chambers: Thank you. Do any of the Supervisors have any questions for Mr. Yoder? You said Mr. Yoder is here?

Edmondston: Yes, sir. Any Supervisors have any questions? At this time we will open the public hearing. Anyone want to speak for the public hearing concerning the Crump Town Road?

Lann: First one signed up is James Lenny Rosser, District 5.

James Lenny Rosser, District 5: I'm Lenny Rosser and I made a mistake on my district. I came from District 4. I often do that. I apologize. And thank you for having me up here. I'd like to speak in terms of Crump Town Road as far as that lumber yard going in there is concerned. The people that are going to be responsible for that will be riding buggies, horse and buggies and what have you. And to me, that's suicide. That road is worse than 20. I almost plowed in the back of one of those things here the other day. I was doing a speed limit. And I went around a bend, well it looked like a sign that you see on the side of a road there had lights on and everything. And it confuses you, when you first look at it. You've never seen one before anyway. But anyhow, just I started to pull out and there's a car coming all the way. It's a good thing I have good brakes on my car, I mean, really good brakes. And I didn't miss them by much. And they were just trying to get out of those seats and everything else. And that's just on Route 20. Now on this road that they're going to put that on, it's like a roller coaster. It's a lot worse than what 20 is and 20 is a problem. Two or three people have died in those buggies on Route 20. I think you, I don't even know who put that ordinance in there, allowing them on there. They don't even pay for tags on the back of their vehicle. But it doesn't make sense. It looks like suicide to me. But then again, I'm just my opinion. But I'm hoping that well, the district isn't set up for that. It's not the right area for them. And I heard said that if they put it there, you will gain jobs. Well it doesn't make any difference where you put it, if it's good business and lumber always is, it'll make money anywhere you put it. But I would

like to speak in terms of I think it's a bad idea. And I hope you all don't pass it. That's about it. Thank you very much.

Chambers: Thank you, sir.

David Ball, District 3: Good evening, David Ball, District 3. Well, to start off, I was looking at Google Earth because I haven't, I haven't been down on Crump Town Road in probably about a year. But I was looking at the site, and noting that it seems to be a typical problem with where they've situated their entrance. And there was a lot of trees that had been previously cleared off the site. But one of the things that I find kind of difficult is that recently, and I say recently, within the past year, looking at stuff from Google Earth, that they've gone back to old maps, old pictures, because I've looked at a number of sites and projects that are proposed here around the county and I'm looking at stuff that's 5-10 years old. And it doesn't reflect what's currently out there. So if somebody looks at Google Earth, they're not going to be able to look at this and see what's actually there. So I think, sad to say Google Earth, in my opinion, appears to be somewhat unreliable. But given the fact that the site has a site difference, which seems to be typical with these projects that have come up, and there's been a couple of them here in the county. Really, there needs to be something a little bit more done with VDOT and working to get the sight distance for these entrances, let alone that they're going to do a milling operation. That's fine. We're a forestry County, and we got a lot of timber to use, but we really want to make sure that these entrances and the amount of traffic that's going to be coming in here is adequate and if necessary, adding in a turn lane for deceleration for vehicles that come in. I mean, if you're going to be hauling timber in a big truck in and out, you're going to need that road. I mean, otherwise, you're kind of putting the local people at a risk. Now I know there's a trucking company up the road, and I don't think they would disagree. You really want to have a deceleration place so that they can safely and accurately and adequately enter and exit the property and that seems to be one of the big problems that needs to be addressed. Thank you.

Chambers: Thank you, sir.

Jonathan Dick, District 3: Good evening, folks. First off, I want to tell you something my wife said to let you folks know, you are the gatekeepers. You are the folks that are supposed to protect us. We shouldn't really she didn't say all this, this is me. I find it's like my time to come here and plead a case that I feel like should have been blocked long ago. I mean, you were at the Planning Commission when we spoke. There are so many bad things about making an exception in this particular agriculture area. The road being one the gentleman just brought up. It is downhill to that entrance. It's actually 16 foot, 5 inches. I measured it today. Tractor trailer. Logging trailer is 102 inches. That's eight and a half foot. Somebody's dropping off. It one's coming out and one's coming in. Or Holliday Lake is right down the road. People drive down that road to Holliday Lake with campers. There was gentleman earlier spoke about we've got land to put that sort of industry in. It doesn't need to be passed off and you know to the next person and let VDOT deal with it because it's the road needs improvement. You folks are the

gatekeepers. This is not good for anyone on Crump Town Road, but the individual that wants to work from home. Another gentleman is going to speak and he said some minor inconvenience. It shouldn't be an inconvenience to us at all. We bought land to be quiet, which has been the ongoing theme tonight. And not to be inconvenienced. I mean seriously, it is no benefit. And I feel like really what should happen is that the people that go for these special use permits should bring yall the petitions, "Here. I've got the neighbor sign. We want this." That burden of proof should be on them. Not on the residents of Crump Town Road to spend their time, hour and a half to come speak. You are the gatekeepers. You folks should protect us. This is not a good plan. I send everyone an email. There's no benefit. I showed you a picture, if you got it. I don't have a hearing aid either. It just by default. All the special use permit should be no. We have a comprehensive plan. Again, thank you so much. I hope you do the right thing.

Chambers: Thank you, Sir

James Anderson, District 3: Good evening, gentlemen. I live on Crump Town Road. And I'm doing dovetail off with Jonathan just shared. Now there is no way two logging trucks could not even two logging trucks, a logging truck and a pickup truck cannot pass each other at the same time. I'm retired. I used to do business. Worked in business. I wasn't a business owner. The gentleman who bought that farm, Mr. Yoder, bless him. But I guarantee you, he knew what he was going to do when he bought that farm. He knew he was going to build a sawmill there that was more than just a family sawmill like the bolt sawmill because I read his plan that he proposed when it first came up just talking about millions of dollars. That's going to be a lot of traffic. I'm a single man. It's just me on the road. But there are families with kids that come up and down New Store Road. We have a burgeoning population of Amish folk coming here that ride buggies. All those roads have serpentine in them. Sometimes a guy that's driving a truck, he makes a decision to cut the corner, he can't see around the corner. It's only a statistic, the gentleman from VDOT said here. It's only a statistical matter of time before somebody gets nailed. There was a comprehensive plan, zoning plan, that's put in place to see how Buckingham County develops. Doesn't have anything to do with the demographics of who's going to start a business. Want to start a major business, do it on a major highway, 15 or 60. That's what Dillwyn is for. Don't try to sneak in the back door, buy a farm, claim agriculture and then execute your business plan. It's already been decided where business development is supposed to occur. We're talking about Buckingham County. Laws in place. You guys do your job. Make the people who come to Buckingham do as the Romans do. We're in Buckingham, we pay taxes. We know what the laws are. Everybody should follow the law. Thank you for your time.

Chambers: Thank you sir.

Richard Gordon, District 3: Yes, I'm a licensed professional engineer in 17 states and this land where I live is zoned agricultural and residential use group. Not B for business or commercial, or I for industrial. The theme of a use group needs to be maintained. Also for preserving the integrity of the

environment at agricultural and residential areas. I'm reading here excerpts from environmental health and safety guidelines for saw milling and manufactured wood products put up by World Bank Group and International Finance Corporation. Now I'm all for progress. But it's not just about sawing trees here. It has to be in the right use group like business or industrial. Industry specific impacts in management. Environmental issues associated with saw milling and wood products. Manufacturing primarily include the following: sustainable forestry practices, solid waste generation, emissions to air, wastewater, noise and fire. Solid waste generation conversion efficiency. Solid waste generation is directly related to the conversion efficiency of round wood through sawn lumber, or other final products. Conversion efficiencies from round wood to sawn lumber, are often below 40%. The use of modern equipment and train staff may increase the efficiencies to 70%. Emissions to air. Air emissions from saw mill operations are generated from a number of sources. Combustion products emitted by boilers may include carbon monoxide, nitrous oxide, sulfur oxides, particulate matter, and volatile organic compounds from bark and wood depending upon fuel selection. VOC's may be emitted during kiln drying of wood and application of solvents, coatings and lockers. Wood dust and larger particulates are generated during sawing, machining and sanding operations. Sawmill operations may use control incineration to dispose of wood waste, which may result in emissions of carbon monoxide, nitrous oxides, particulate matter and volatile organic compounds from bark and wood. Wastewater. Industrial process wastewater effluent from sawmills is generated from runoff, from irrigated storage areas known as log yards and bog ponds. Wastewater is also generated from chemical coating of wood. Toxic wood preservation chemicals may include polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, pentachlorophenol, other pesticides and compounds of Chrome, copper and arsenic. Process wastewater containing chemical preservatives should be contained as part of the closed loop application systems. The runoff from log yards and log ponds may contain toxic chemicals such as tannins, phenols, resins and fatty acids leached from timber and soil and other materials washed out of the bark. Occupational health and safety. Issues associated with sawmilling and wood products manufacturing primarily include physical hazards, noise, dust chemicals, explosions, time...

Chambers: Time is up.

Gordon: Five minutes?

Chambers: You know what, I apologize, they cut the five minutes out. I thought they had five minutes but they cut it back to three That's right? That's what I'm saying they cut that out. I forgot about it. So I apologize.

Alan Todd, District 3: Good evening. I'm a relative newcomer to Buckingham County. I bought in 2016. But I live on Crump Town Road and actually I'm probably the closest person to the sawmill or the proposed sawmill, I should say. My two main concerns are what everybody else has said. Number one is safety. That road is not suitable for big logging trucks. And it's not going to be a question of if it's

going to be a question of when something bad happens on that road with a logging truck and either a car, pickup truck or heaven forbid a buggy. We all know the buggy accidents that have happened in Buckingham County. There never is a good outcome. So that's my main concern. And what nobody else has said yet that I'm concerned about is the noise. You get 20-30 log trucks a day coming through that road is one thing, but to have the constant noise from the operation. As a crow flies, it's probably less than a quarter of a mile from my house to the proposed operation. And it sits up on a hill. So it's going to generate considerable noise. I bought my place so I could get away from Richmond and the noise and the neighbors. So that's why I bought in Buckingham, and I would just urge you to please do the right thing and not approve this. Thank you.

Alfred Buczek, District 3: My name is Al Buczek. And I don't live on Crump Town Road, but on the corner. I'm in District 3. I think this is my and I guess, sitting here listening to all these good reasons and questions they have, I would just like to be one of the few that makes that young man feel comfortable be in our county. Even if this doesn't go through. I think it's very difficult to raise your family. Sometimes, as neighbors we don't want to get in their way. But I feel confidence in and trust in your decision making process. I also want them to know, and my neighbors as well, I'm not against you by any means. We live with ... Well, I'm not that's when you deal with someone's life when you deal with someone's ability to provide for their families, it's more than football game. It's a livelihood. I lived under communism. It was no fun. And I'm afraid that some of that garbage is following us here. We live in a land of freedom and liberty. And we shouldn't, you know, like, and I'm the minor inconvenience guy that he mentioned earlier. I just want them to at least know that you know they're trying to do something good. That some of us would support that. But again, there are rules in place. There are things that have to be considered and I understand all of it but I just want them to know that at least I'm rooting for them. And if they have to change whatever they need to do to make it work, I'd like to see that. But I also love my neighbors and I love Buckingham County and I love what you guys are doing to Sawmill Road, cleaning it up. We have a very good functioning County. We have a lot of trust in you guys. And a lot of the things that were said about the mining and everything. I don't want to take too much time because I haven't eaten so you guys take care.

Lann: That's all.

Chambers: Do any supervisors have any question for Mr. Yoder? I will close the public hearing.

Allen: The last time we had talked about it, it was something to do with the driveway. I think that started putting it on hold. It went to this.

Chambers: You can come up, yes sir.

There was continued outbursts from the audience.

Ike Yoder: Good evening. I'm Ike Yoder. I'm on Crump Town Road.

Chip Coleman: There was a question on...

Allen: VDOT had last week seen what hadn't approved the road. Then it was said that the engineer was going to be working on it but...

Coleman: What I have in hand is the application form for this process. And I assume everybody got the additional information in your packet and then exhibit E of that packet was where Charles Edwards had signed off that a traffic impact study is not required. Then the question was does the existing entrance meet VDOT requirements for the proposed use? And the answer was no. But then it says the applicant has been issued a VDOT land use permit, which is in Appendix A, to perform necessary site work to bring entrance into compliance for proposed use. So he has been issued a permit to upgrade the entrance for the proposed use. That work has not been completed because he was under a stop. But he has a permit to do the work for that permit. Does that makes sense?

Allen: I'm just wondering. I know last time it was no real answer to it.

Coleman: I'm just trying to bring.

Matthews: What work is that it has to be completed?

Coleman: Well, it's part of the permit. Which to tell you the truth, the permit was written before I came on board. So I think it was a widening of the road or the entrance, the existing driveway entrance and extending it. I did talk to Mr. Edwards, early on in this process and the site distance with pulling back the bank, part of that was pulling back the bank right there at the entrance. Adequate. I didn't address it at that point. I had a permit in hand that had his application form saying...

Matthews: How many trucks a day would you be getting Mr. Yoder? Just curious.

Yoder: 20-30. I can't remember.

Matthews: 20-30 a day?

Edmondston: Mr. Yoder has indicated in his application narrative that there will be 15 to 20 vehicles a day.

Coleman: So I looked at that as maximum 20. And they go both ways. So that's 40 vehicles.

Matthews: We've got the VDOT man here today. So I guess we could ask him. Are y'all willing to widen that road all the way from Prince Edward County all the way through to Crump Town Road? Would that ever be something that could happen?

Frederick: That would be a long range plan.

Matthews: A long range plan?

Yoder: I do you believe that most traffic or tractor trailers would turn on Francisco go down Francisco off 15 and then take I think its 1.3...

Chambers: Let's give him the same respect that he gave y'all. He didn't talk while y'all had public comments so let's give him the same respect, please.

Matthews: So, so you're saying percentage wise as far as the tractor trailer traffic would be coming down Route 15 to Francisco Road and coming in that way, but there's nothing to say they can't come... because, you know, what is the impact studies showing, Mr. Frederick? Do you know actually the numbers on that road? What the traffic count is or anything on any given day of the week?

Frederick: I didn't bring it with me...

Coleman: I can give you the numbers that I came up with from the 2019 study. Francisco Road I had an average annual average daily traffic of 670 vehicles per day. With 4% of them being trucks.

Matthews: 170.

Coleman: Crump Town Road, I had annual daily average daily traffic of 140 vehicles per day. Trucks is not published for that size road.

Chambers: I'm confused.

Matthews: The first figure was on Francisco Road.

Coleman: Yes sir.

Chambers: 600 and what?

Coleman: 670.

Matthews: And that's on Francisco which is 636. It comes off a 15 heading west to Crump Town Road.

Chambers: That's a lot of traffic on that road.

Matthews: 4% of that 670 was truck traffic.

Coleman: That's 27 vehicles per day. It what was the 2019 study because I think as you mentioned before 2020 studies aren't very reliable because of COVID.

Matthews: And then on Crump Town Road itself, what was the traffic count on that particular road?

Coleman: 140 vehicles per day.

Matthews: 140 vehicles a day.

Coleman: And truck traffic wasn't published.

Matthews: What is the maximum number of... did sit ay that in the study of what could travel that road be within the guidelines of the...?

Coleman: Well, what I've pulled from was the Federal Highway Administration's Highway Functional Classification, Concepts, Criteria and Procedures, which was a 2013 edition. A major collector, which Francisco's a major collector, should fall within the range of 300 to 2600 vehicles per day. Same study on a local road in rural areas was the range of 15...

Matthews: To Crump Town Road?

Chambers: It is my understanding, you say that VDOT...they haven't finished the application yet with VDOT with the road for the entrance?

Coleman: VDOT has issued an entrance permit for this road, meaning there is a land use permit to build the entrance. And I've got a copy of it in the addendum.

Allen: They gave you a permit to get an engineer to build a road. Yeah.

Coleman: They gave a permit to construct it.

Allen: Yeah, an engineer to construct a road.

Coleman: A permit to actually construct it. I mean, that's how the land use permit works. Correct?

Frederick: Whether or not we issue the permit, we have nothing to do with zoning. (couldn't pick him up from audience)

Matthews: Just a minute will keep you in mind just a second. Hold your thought. Let these guys finish any other questions that we have for them. I understand what you said, Mr. Frederick, as far as you don't get into the zoning or permitting of business and district or wherever they're going to put it but as far as you know, I'm sure you've traveled that road, the road is built to state specifications right? And it's meeting the criteria that needs to be met as far as a road being built and the conditions of the road?

Frederick: (didn't pick up from audience)

Matthews: Is it built any differently than any other rural road in Buckingham County, as far as the width of it, the dimensions of it? I mean just about all of them are about the same right as far as dimensions of it?

Frederick: (didn't pick up from audience)

Allen: How do you tell the difference between the two roads? You were looking at, one was 15 vehicles to 400 and the other one was like 300-2600?

Coleman: Based on the classification of the road, functional classification. And Francisco Road is considered a major collector. The other ones was a local road, which means...

Matthews: There were some other issues too, with EPA and DEQ. You maybe give us some information on that possibly?

Coleman: The property is currently under a notice of violation. Stream Impacts one notice and the second one was for not having proper permitting to do construction. I came on board after these violations were issued and was just dealing with one at a time notice of the stream impacts. Requirements from Kara Witt, who did the inspection about cleaning up streams. His team cleaned up the streams. We asked her if she did a second inspection because we need to know where does it sit or has he complied and she responded in an email and the Email is provided in the additional information and says DEQ, and it says DWP, which would be the order protection side, which is her, conducted follow up compliance inspection on December 15. We inspected the stream channel and found the correction action complete with new, no new violations observed. Nothing further is needed from Mr. Yoder at this time. Whether that closes that notice of violation I don't know when this thing's closed out.

So in my opinion, she's saying that he corrected the problem he created there. So when we go into the notice of violation that deals with stormwater permitting. Mr. Yoder disturbed over an acre of land. That typically is when you would need a stormwater permit from Buckingham County, the state, DEQ, some other localities do it in house. And so there was a report that there was more of a disturbance than the acre, they came out inspected, they did a report, they listed several different things that needed to be done. Which a lot of these things in a normal project would be done before the first disturbance took place.

The whole process to get that actual storm water starting with you prepare a stormwater management plan, it gets reviewed by DEQ. Back and forth on comments and responses, at which point when that plan is approved then you go into the next stage which actually deals with getting the permit. Standard permit but there's a letter a letter of coverage that goes with it that gives you permission to actually start the work. We have submitted a stormwater management plan to DEQ. I've gotten a review back and we also submitted that to the Peter Francisco Soil and Water Conservation. I've gotten comments back and in their review, there were several items that we need or would need to do. Then we were back into this process of this special use permit. Rather than go in, I designed the plan as if there was a sawmill there. That's worst case scenario on the stormwater management but all this impervious area up on this hill. When we came back, we were in this process and getting closer to the end I recommended to Mr. Yoder that we wait and see what the board decides because if the special use permit does not go through, we will substantially changes the plan so it doesn't deal with all this impervious area. It would go back to its native state which does, it does different things on how you would do calculations how you deal with phosphorus loadings, different things like that, basins. So we are in the process we're in in between reviews.

Matthews: Are you willing to answer any questions from the residence if they have some questions for you here?

Chambers: What happened? I misled the gentleman when I told him five minutes. I cut him off at three and he had a question. Let him just ask him a question.

(speaking from audience with no mic, didn't pick up on recordings.)

Coleman: I don't know a lot about the saw milling process, you know, with that I'm purely a site designer. I asked Ike when he was mentioning different chemicals as like are you kilning this wood which I think a lot of the process he was speaking of was dealing with kilning it, drying it, putting down chemicals on it to preserve it. You're just doing raw lumber, right? So you're cutting it, stacking it, selling it as raw?

(Mr. Yoder answered the question but was standing away from mic so didn't pick up.)

Matthews: So this is going to be raw timber sitting outside that you are going to be cutting up, right? Do you have a debarker? What other equipment other than other than a diesel generator, a debarker. Is there anything else?

Yoder: That's all being loaded for (mic cut out)

Matthews: Let me ask you a question. I'm a firm believer in setting some conditions and parameters on businesses like yours, whether it's this county or other counties. I think that, you know, the citizens that are that do live next to the sites should have some protection from noise. Are you against any type of production that would help deafen the noise such as some type of building that the debarker could be put in or a generator so it would muffle the sound to a level that these people wouldn't basically hear it at all?

Yoder: Chip has some data on the noise.

Matthews: Yeah, we'll listen to I mean, that's what we're here for. But my question is, to ease their mind, if it goes forward to the next scenario, you know, I think you're going to have to agree to some of these conditions that would help eliminate some of that stuff. That's just my opinion. And we're gonna let a couple of these other people ask some questions once we get to this to the next step here in just a minute. But the other thing too, would be in my opinion, would be to possibly limit your days of work, which would be Monday through Saturday, Monday through Friday. And it also is a concern of mine with school bus traffic on this particular road, too. So with all that said, and I know there's a there's a business at the end of Crump Town Road, that works on semi-trucks and tractor trailers. And I've seen loaded tractor trailers at that site too. And you know, your numbers kind of speak to me a little bit. 670 vehicles a day on Francisco Road, and 4% of that is truck traffic. So, you know, that's not, that's not a lot of traffic, as far as I'm concerned, the way I'm looking at it. But with that being said, if you if you add your business to that equation is going to increase it 20-30 times, so it'd be 60, possibly at the, at the outside edge of traffic going and coming. So, of course that amount is going to increase the 4%, which, you know, VDOT has already said, you know, within those guidelines, and from the study that they've done, this road is built to handle anywhere from 300 to 2600 vehicles a day. So, but I think some of the conditions as far as your work schedule, times a day that that's going to happen. And also, possibly working with some type of construction of a building with some type of insulation that's going muffle the sound of any of this equipment. And I mean, you know and I know when you get into log woods or wherever it may be, and there has been log, there's been saw mills across this county for hundreds of years. You know, our county prides itself on being a timber and logging community. We have, we put a lot of people to work in the timber business and the timber industry. And that's important to me, because it puts some of my people to work that live in my district. And I think that's important. You're trying to make a livelihood, you're trying to fend for your family and do the right thing. But you know, the people that that you live next to, your neighbors and stuff you want to get along with those people we want to

do the right thing for those people too. They have a right to a quality of life that they when they bought that piece of land here so we want to keep that in perspective to so anyway. I'm just throwing that out there before I move this thing on I'd like to see some conditions put in there to address those things that I just talked about you know, oh man or whatever whoever's doing your engineering plans that they we can come up with an idea to do that. Mr. Anderson you have a question or statement that you want to make or ask these gentlemen?

Mr. Anderson spoke from the audience. There are no mic's in the audience so it does not pick up on recording. He asked about did he know he was going to do this when he moved here.

Yoder: I didn't know what I was gonna do.

Mr. Anderson from audience: (not verbatim since no mic) I had to build a business like that and I looked at your business plan. I mean why don't you put it somewhere else in Buckingham that is zoned for business. Like 15 or 60. Have you ever thought about taking your business idea and putting it where the county has decided that you won't risk people who were driving up and down the road whether it be in the buggy or school bus? Have you ever thought about that?

Yoder: I have not.

Anderson: Will you do that?

Matthews: We got a suggestion for that Mr. Anderson.

Chambers: Let me apologize. I've cut him off. He has a... Yes sir. You were saying something else.

(Couldn't hear him.)

Chambers: Mr. Matthews has some more conditions that he was talking about. I recommend the Board that they will table this and a let Mr. Matthews get with Mr. Yoder and work this out. I don't think we should vote on the night. I think we should table it.

Allen: I hear too that the traffic number of 1760 on Route 636. 1760 come off of VDOT. The VDOT man is here. He can look for it if he wants to. If he's got time.

Matthews: Did you get that online? What year is that?

Coleman: Our numbers were 2019 because 2021 wasn't available at the time.

Chambers: Can I get a motion from the Board to table this thing?

Matthews: I make a motion to table this for consideration of conditions moving forward until we can get some answers for those and input from the community. Is it anything, I'm just gonna ask the group that's here, the citizens that are concerned, is it anything he could do that would make you consider this project? I mean, I'm just asking.

Jonathan Dick from the audience: (may not be verbatim) To answer your question for myself anyway. First off, whatever if it's, if whatever conditions you put, you the Board, if you were to put conditions on, would still have tractor trailers that are 102 inches in width, going on a road that only accommodates 16 foot. The buses would be there, my mother in law is 84, Richard down the road I mean, Russell down roads is 85. You've got people who run down, and I'm telling you what, I retired from UPS, 35 years, I understand the trucking industry, and they do not go the route you tell them and we were talking about teamsters that are being instructed to drive a certain way. And I guess another thing that concerns me is it's my understanding that this gentleman owns a sawmill in Charlotte County and then comes in acts like he didn't know permits were necessary. I mean, are we operating in good faith here? I don't see anything you can do to stop the trucks other than...

Matthews: There's saw mills and trucks in other localities and in this county too.

Dick: Two wrongs don't make a right.

Coleman: I think you should address your current business.

Mr. Yoder was standing away from mic and didn't pick up well.

Yoder: It was an existing mill site from an existing business. (may not be verbatim)

Matthews: In Charlotte County is what you are saying?

Chambers: Is that fair with you sir?

Matthews: What the question was, he purchased a business in Charlotte County that was an existing business so you know, he didn't build that out the way it is. So he bought it the way the way it was. The way it is now in Charlotte County. That's where his businesses now that's the statement that he's making.

Chambers: Okay, what the problem we got here, Mr. Yoder. He's a supervisor and he has a problem with the conditions. That's why I ask that we table it and talk with him. Y'all get together on the conditions I think it'd be fair to everybody. Okay, so we've got a motion and a second on the floor. All in favor? We have a motion by Supervisor Matthews, a second by Supervisor Allen that we would table this to...

Matthews: Really what I don't want you to do is get with Ms. Edmondston about the conditions. I'll get with her about that so you're not coming to me directly you'll be going through her because she's our zoning. Well, yeah, you send us what you'd like to have as conditions in this motion.

Chambers: We tabled it to further...

McManus from the audience: Your motion said you approve it. Motion by Matthew second by Allen and you approved it. That's what it said.

Lann: The motion is to table and that motion is approved.

Matthews: The motion to table is approved.

McManus: That's not what it said.

Chambers: The only motion I asked for on the floor was to table this to later. That's the only motion should be up there. That is standard wording whatever motion y'all state it's approved.

Lann: That is standard wording. Whatever motion you all state is approved.

Chambers: Okay. The motion was approved to table it. Okay. We try to be fair. All in favor. Five yes.

Supervisor Matthews moved, Supervisor Allen seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to table Case 21-SUP981 for Ike Yoder until conditions are agreed upon.

Re: Public Hearing: Case 22-SUP302 Erin Reid Lamonte

Chambers: Let's move on to number 2. Mrs. Edmondston.

Edmondston: The next case before us for public hearing is Case 22-SUP302. The land owner is Dominic Lamonte. And the applicant is Erin Reid Lamonte. Tax Map 93 Parcel 12 is about 4 acres in this parcel and it's located at 1867 Mulberry Grove Road, Buckingham and the Maysville Magisterial

District. It's currently zoned A-1 and the request before you as introduced last month is to obtain a special use permit for the purpose of operating an Air BnB Bed and Breakfast with six dry campsites. The applicant is here with us and I believe the landowner and we do have two individuals signed up for the public hearing, Mr. Vice Chairman.

Chambers: Thank you. We're open to public here now. Call the names to speak.

David Ball, District 3: Good evening, David Ball, District 3. Good to see you again. So, I talked about this last month at the Planning Commission. And looking at this again, this is a four acre lot. And they want an Airbnb, with campsites. That's kind of a lot of campsites for Airbnb. I want to point out, we have James River State Park. We have Appomattox-Buckingham State Forest, all of which have adequate campsites. For anybody who wants to come here. And you're right there in the midst of recreational activity. This is going to be just down the road from one of the local churches. There's some agriculture around there. And I wonder on such a small lot, why he...

Matthews: It's actually on four acres, not one acre.

Ball: I know. I said it was on four acres. But if you look at a house, just the house for the Airbnb with the driveway, septic fields, distance for your wells and everything, you're gonna have about an acre that taken up, and then you're gonna have your setback distances around the property. So it doesn't make a lot of sense. I mean, it goes to the same fact I told Planning Commission, that's basically you're gonna have 10, 20, 30 100, 400 Airbnb's spread around the county. They're not gonna be generating revenue for the county. They're a tax write off. Basically, that's what they are. Because everything that goes with the Airbnb is for tax write off purposes. So that's not revenue for the county.

Matthews: You get a lodging tax from Airbnb.

Ball: Yeah, but you got an Airbnb, there's no guarantee that you're going to have people come in there. When people come to look at an area, they look for what's available. They're gonna look at the big, you know, sites like the state parks, the state forest, the things that offer. You've got Cumberland State Forest just crossed the, the line. So there's a lot of recreation that's already here. And I think these are in such a small lot to have so many people dry camping without adequate facilities. Think about it. How many people you want camping next to your house?

Matthews: How many how many acres you need for 4-6 Dry campsites? You ever been to a campground?

Ball: Yeah. I have.

Chambers: Thank you.

Nelson Bailey, District 5: Thank you again, gentlemen and neighbors for the privilege to speak. This Mulberry Grove is off the Howardsville and it goes to 56. Got three houses on the right. One down road, another house. Dirt Road. You go to a church on the right. And a radio tower. Radio people couldn't get the tower light on for a long time but that's another issue. These air and B&B's who knows what they are this has anybody ever stayed in one?

Someone from audience: Yes.

Bailey: Okay, thank you.

Audience: Several times.

Bailey: Okay. I got the floor.

Chambers: You do. Go ahead, sir.

Bailey: How about safety features on these Airbnb's? Do they have to have a sprinkler system? They have to have a first aid kit? Do they have to have a fire extinguisher? Do we have to look at it more closely? Now this one, the first house is an Airbnb. This is the applicants house that wants to be an AirBnB. And the third one is an Airbnb. Right there 123. Boom. How about I see in the planning that you have wetlands and it says FEMA. Where's the map that shows that piece of property FEMA? And also wetlands comes under DEQ. Where's the DEQ map? Why don't we have paperwork when it's required? You got a check off box. Why isn't there paperwork there? It's not like oh. Thank you.

Chambers: Thank you sir. That's all Jennifer?

Lann: That's all.

Chambers: I'll close the public hearing. Board, what is your pleasure on the public hearing?

Allen: I make a motion that we pass it.

Chambers: Is there a second. Have a motion and second that we will pass this. All in favor. Thank you. Five yes.

Supervisor Allen moved, Supervisor Bryant seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to approve Case 22-SUP302 Erin Reid Lamonte for AirBnB with campsites.

Re: Public Hearing: Case 22-SUP303 Roy and Janice Turner

Chambers: Let's move to the next one. Mrs. Edmondston?

Edmondston: Yes, sir. The next case for public hearing is 22-SUP303. Landowners and applicants are Roy and Janice Turner. Tax Maps, there are a few of them. Tax Map 34 Parcel 34 a little over nine acres, Tax Map 34 parcel 33 with 70 acres, Tax Map 34 Parcel 34 lot A with 10 acres, Tax Map 34 Parcel 34 lot B with 7.2 acres. These are all located at 429 Maple Top Lane, Buckingham in the James River Magisterial District. It's currently zoned A-1 and the applicant wishes to obtain a special use permit for the purpose of operating Airbnb Bed and Breakfast, campsites and event centers. Events to include but not limited to weddings, reunions, festivals, concerts, crafting, art, celebrations of life with up to 1500 attendees. We do have six people signed up for the public hearing and the owners and applicants are here with us this evening as well.

Chambers: Thank you, Mrs. Edmondston. At this time we open the public hearing. Who's the first one to speak?

Jeeva Abbate, District 5: Thank you. I'm Jeeva Abbate from District 5. Harry Bryant is my supervisor. I want to thank the Turner's, Roy and Janice for a detailed application. I even read your hand written comments. So, I see the intent is a good one. And to be honest with you, as a Yogaville Administrator, we sometimes don't have space even for people who come to Yogaville, both rental and places to live. So we would be interested possibly in referring people to this campsite, or the Airbnb because people are always looking for space to come. Now we're slowly reopening. But when we get going, again, we want to take care of the people that are coming for our instruction and training. So I have, I'm also very aware of Mrs. Berthoud's many good questions about the impact of possibly so many people, on the roads, on the neighbors and the people across the street from the Turner's and so on. But the person that's going to come after me to speak is familiar with that land, and understands that the way it's laid out, allows for some music and sound. But it doesn't necessarily impact everyone. And I don't have the data on this. But it's a good question. And it's an issue that Mr. Matthews brought up, "How can we accommodate our neighbors as good neighbors?" So I want to approach it that way. I want Yogaville to be a good neighbor to Turner's. I want to thank them for doing an official process because I'm aware of other BnB's that operate that have not gone through the permit process. And other people who have built venues out our way who have had concerts without any, I don't know whether they've been permitted or not. So for this to have come forward to the official process, I want to acknowledge that and say if there's a way we can find, to see this as neighbors, treating good neighbors and giving room for this and maybe communicating when there's our larger event to all the neighbors in a way, that would be good. And I'm hoping we can come to some way that the Turner's can have their facilities and the neighbors can be considered for the questions that they do have. So thank you.

Chambers: Thank you, sir.

Ernie Moore, District 5: Thank you Supervisors. I'm already Ernie Shiva Moore. District 5. Harry Bryant is my supervisor. Speaking in support of the special use permit for the Turner's application. I've known the Turner's for 40 years that I've been in Buckingham, and came here to build Yogaville all those years ago. We were fortunate to have a lot of the county administrators work with us to build our facility out there. The Turner's were always good neighbors, as were the Branches, the Gunter's, and Ragland's. Thank all of them for putting up with us, I guess you would say. We certainly I've never heard any complaints from Roy and Janice. I'm sure they had some. I just want them to have the same opportunity to follow the process that the county offers and be considered for their application in just the way they presented it. We want to open up. We're starting to open up more now after COVID. And we want to have some events. So thank the board for considered my comments.

Chambers: Thank you, sir.

David Ball, District 3: David Ball District 3. I can tell by the look on your face, you probably think you know what I'm gonna say. But I bet you don't. Actually, this one has an area that it actually services the people there and from what you've heard already, it's simply that does meet a need in that particular area where maybe general sites don't. There's enough land there for the proposed improvements and for what they propose to do which makes sense. You need adequate space. You need adequate facilities and it seems that this is a better planned project that most that have come forward so I think it's a good deal. Surprised.

Chambers: Praise the Lord for you.

Nelson Bailey, District 5: Again, and District 5, Mr. Bryant. My superintendent. I live on the road. I'm a neighbor. I heard 1500 vehicles. I don't know if I'm right or wrong. But I heard an enormous amount of vehicles. The only access is either on off of 20 or off of 56. And it will be divided between Yogaville and my road. Okay, again, FEMA checked? Go down to the field that just been harvested and you'll see where the wetlands are. That's a common sight in the county. The tractors don't plant crop because they get stuck there. And you can look at the field in front of Mr. Turner's house and you will see where the crop doesn't grow. So it's a wetland. Allah wetland, Allah wetland. FEMA says no wetland. Google Earth those wetland. Field and Stream you a wildlife field and straight okay anyway. We'll work that issue later. About dry camping and the Boy Scouts we learned dry camping was you dig a hole? Well, you're gonna put your stuff in a hole that's on wetland in the floodplain. I rode the two floods out on the James River in Richmond on a houseboat that I owned. Both of them. Pictures in the paper. Me putting the flag up. I know what wetlands and flood lands are floodplain. Again, Buggy Top, Air BnB, sprinkler system, fire extinguisher, first aid kit? If they have an enormous event, are there going to be

police there? Is there going to be a security guard? Guns on the property, going to be allowed? Are they going to have ski doos in the River? If so, are they going to be registered? Are they going to have mopeds running up to Glenmore? If so, are they going to be registered? I don't see it as a win. I understand the business behind it. But it just doesn't make sense to have that kind of traffic. And we already have five event centers that have already been approved on 20, Axtel Road. And a bunch more. Thank you.

Chambers: Thank you, sir. Anybody else speaking?

Heidi Berthoud, District 5: Hello, again, my name is Heidi Dhivya Berthoud and I live in District 5. I ask the county to press pause on event site applications and dry camping until some important questions are ironed out. To be clear, I say yes, of course to encourage local businesses. But let's also get it right. Thanks to a neighbor who follows your meetings regularly, I heard about this public hearing. But there's no signage out on the road. Signage is required 21 days prior to the hearing? True? And but there's no signs by the road to let us know and that would have been the only way for us to know. I'm very concerned for our quiet community in the northwest corner of Buckingham. The Turner's have applied for 1500 people capacity center, dry camping sites for 24 trailers and a BnB in an A-1 zone. We want to be good neighbors, but find this process challenging. Good government can help to oversee and arbitrate the sustainable usage of our Commonwealth. This SUP application brings up more questions to us. Why is the application bundled? I know that that's part of the SUP application but why is it bundled? Why not separate the applications for the BNB from the event site from the dry camping that would help with understanding the different issues that each one of those situations present. The roads in this area simply cannot support these numbers. They are narrow, unmarked, winding, have blind corners and minimal to no shoulders. We've heard about that tonight. We are in a peninsula with one way in and one way out and far from the state roads. What conditions and what number of attendees trigger requirements for VDOT traffic impact statements, environmental impact and waste plans? That wasn't apparent to me. How did the two separate SUP applicants for tonight's meeting arrive at the number of 1500 people capacity? I couldn't figure that out? Limitations on the frequency of events are not indicated. The Burmaster SUP has a limit of four times per year for large events, 2000 people and four times a year and they're off of a state highway. There's no info in the A-1 zoning code, though. Though it does say above 300 people the sheriff must be notified. So one such event, 1500 people per year, would be a burden on our neighborhood. We are all on well water with limited capacities and this is worrisome. Why is there no sitemap for the event center or camping area?

Chambers: Thank you maam.

Berthoud: Oh really? How did that happened?

Chambers: Three minutes is up.

Berthoud: Okay, well, you see, there are important questions to be asked.

Chambers: Thank you. Yes ma'am. Thank you.

Berthoud: Please press pause.

Kenda Hanuman, District 5: Kenda Hanuman, 5. I really appreciate the Turner's and how they've tried so much to make things clear to us and met with us. And I definitely support development that promotes tourism and protects our environment. So I'm really in favor of seeing something like their project go forward. Although I have read the application, and I have several concerns about it. The first one, which Ms. Berthoud mentioned was the requirement for the sign postings. Three weeks in advance. I have not seen any signs in the VDOT right away. Is that correct? Are there signs up? Okay, thank you. And second, I truly worry about our safety on the roads. We have narrow, unmarked winding roads. The two access roads would be Manteo and Woodland Church. Manteo contains a winding hillside passing through timberland as it approaches the intersection with Woodland Church. I've had many close calls driving on it in the daylight and in the dark. I know several wrecks that have happened there. Woodland Church Road passes through the Yogaville community, where pedestrians walk on the road daily. And where wildlife is constantly seen crossing the road and sadly often hit and killed on it. The traffic impact form in the application only mentions traffic for the Air BnB amid mentioning of the campsite, and the Event Center for up to 1500 people. I ask that you delay this hearing until the application is complete. Thank you.

Chambers: At this time, we close the public hearing. Thank all the citizens for their comments. And I've been known to turn this for some time and I got confidence they could do the right thing and run the business. Right. And I'm gonna support this Special Use Permit. What's the pleasure of the Board?

Matthews: I've got some questions. Mr. or Mrs. Turner, either one of you, if you could?

Chambers: Mr. Turner, would you come to the podium with one or both?

Matthews: We had, we did have two other SUPS over the last two years that were event type SUPs. One up on route 24, the Burmaster property, which I think someone has already referred to. And the other one was a Mr. and Mrs. Henshaw that had an Air BnB and an event or wedding type venue that they wanted to do. And we limited their sites to a certain number of people. What was the Burmaster site?

Edmondston: 2000 for major events. The events typically over 300 require working with fire, EMS and the sheriff's office but his number was decreased to 200.

Matthews: And that could occur how many times a year?

Edmondston: For the events from 201 to 2000 attendees, four times a year.

Matthews: I'm just curious. How many times do you think you would have 1500 people at your site? Do you envision that happening?

Turner: Maybe once or twice, possibly, but it's not something that we have, you know, done a, you know, a survey or anything on. It's just a number.

Matthews: Yes, sir. Let me ask you a question. Would you be willing to work that back, walk that back a little bit as far as the number and if you did have a situation where you needed to do that, you could come back to the county to let us know that that was going to happen and we would probably approve that. You know, instead of saying, we're just going to turn this thing loose, you can have 1500 people, anytime that you want to do it, I just think that would be a better way to try to address your needs, but also the concerns of some of the citizens that are in your district. That's just my opinion, you do, ask it for whatever way you want to ask it. But I'm just thinking to show, if you don't think you're gonna need it for 1500 people, maybe once a year, or whatever it may be, I would have a hard time approving it. If you said, Well, I want to do this, and I want to be able to do it 365 days a year for 1500 people. I mean, I'd have a hard time dealing with that myself.

Turner: That certainly wouldn't be the case. But yes, we could you know, what number would you be comfortable with?

Chambers: Could you live with 1000? That's fair.

Matthews: Well, that's better. But I'm just thinking, if we worded in a manner where they could, if they wanted to approach the Board, if they did have an event that they needed it for 1500 people, but what would be the maximum number of times a year that you'd need to, you need to have this? I mean, just curious, have you thought about that?

Turner: I have not but if four is a usable number for someone else?

Matthews: Well, I would say, I would say, I would be willing to say six or so if that's suitable to you. But...

Chambers: Only thing I see. I don't want to put a limitation on him. He got to come before the board and it takes two, three months of getting it and the time expires on it. I think we are tying his hands too tight.

Allen: You'd have to do another SUP to get the number changed.

Chambers: That's what I'm saying.

Allen: You can't just change it because you want to.

Chambers: I don't want us to tie his hands to where he can't operate.

Matthews: Do you have a thought on this Mrs. Edmondston on how you would address this issue?

Edmondston: The Turner's would be able to hold an event 300, less than 300 without notifying the sheriff's office. I believe the events you're talking about would be anything 300 to 1500. Is yes is that what...

Matthews: That's right. As far as you would, you're talking about involving not just the emergency services, but the sheriff's department for you know, public safety and the State Police, Traffic Control. You know, when you talk about 1500 people, that's a lot of people, I mean, and I mean, I don't go through your district a whole lot, especially where you live at, I'd probably love to go and see it sometime, and see what you're doing. But I just know how it is, how rural it is in my district and the amount of traffic that 1500 People may make cause on a certain road and not knowing your situation or where you live it but you know, that may cause some concern, you know, with our law enforcement and EMS providers throughout the county. So I'd like to protect you and the people that are going to your venue as much as I do the citizens that are next to you. And you've had a lot of good people speaking on your behalf and they don't have a problem with it. But I just, it makes me nervous when we start talking in 1000s of people instead of a couple 100 people you know, situation like that.

Turner: Can I ask Mr. Bryant how do you feel about it since he is our representative?

Bryant: Yes. I think it is not going to be 1500 people coming all at one time. It's gonna be over a period of days for the coming in and going out. So I don't think it's gonna crowd roads all that much. The roads are pretty decent roads. And as far as I'm concerned it I think just leave it like it is. 1500

Chambers: Okay, I'd support that.

People were speaking out from audience that the roads are not decent roads and some were applauding.

Bryant: Most likely it won't be one person to a car.

Allen: That's 1500 people, not cars.

Nelson Bailey yelling out from audience about the 35 mph speed limit right there on that road, Manteo, out there people go 45. I see it every day. I almost get hit.

Bryant: It's alright. The speed limit is 55 out here and you see them going 65 also.

Kenda Hanuman was speaking out from audience but couldn't hear what she was saying.

Matthews: What do you want to talk about?

Chambers: I didn't understand what she was saying.

Ms. Hanuman kept speaking but mic didn't pick up.

Matthews: She's talking about Manteo Road.

Chambers: Look, I live on 659 right up from the seminary. Sometimes as much as 3000 people come in there and I have never heard of an accident. That's 659.

Bailey yelled out about Manteo again.

Chambers: Yes, yes, sir.

Davis: Can we put to same, I like to play ball with everybody on the same playing field. What did we do with the other group? It was 2000 people four times a year. Roy and them don't think they're going to exceed that. So I'd say, up to 1500 people four times a year. And if it's over 300, they notify the sheriff's department and EMS. And we go with it.

Chambers: Are you good with that, sir?

Turner: Yes sir.

Edmondston: I can amend those conditions.

Davis: I just like for everybody to go by the same rules.

Allen: Have you closed the public hearing yet? I just wanted to know if he could make that motion. You got to wait until he closes the public hearing.

Chambers: Anybody's got more questions for Mr. Turner. I closed the public hearing now. Mr. Davis.

Davis: I'll make a motion that we approve this with the stipulations of that, up to 1500 people four times a year and over 300 they notify the sheriff and fire and EMS.

Matthews: Second.

Chambers: We have a motion and a second that we approve this special use permit as presented. Any question? All in favor? Thank you.

Supervisor Davis moved, Supervisor Matthews seconded to amend the conditions to include up to 1500 people, four times a year and over 300 people, they will have to notify the Sheriffs office and EMS and Fire. This motion passed with 4-1-2 vote. Vice Chairman Chambers, Supervisors Davis, Matthews and Bryant voting in favor. Supervisor Allen abstaining. Supervisors Miles and Bryan were absent.

Re: Zoning Matters: Introduction Case 22-SUP305 Landowner Jonas Fisher. Applicant Jonathan King

Edmondston: The next case for introduction is Case 22.-SUP305. The landowner is Jonas Fisher and the applicant is Jonathan King. The property up for application for requests this evening is Tax Map 95 Parcel 39 Lot A. and it is located near 1039 Banton Shop Road. It's an A-1 district. The applicant Mr. King this evening wishes to obtain a special use permit for the purpose of operating a private school, one room schoolhouse. The applicant is asking the Board of Supervisors to hold a public hearing for this request. This case was introduced to the Planning Commission April 25. And a public hearing was held June 27. The Planning Commission voted to pass this to the Board of Supervisors with the recommendation of approval. Would it be the wishes of the Board of Supervisors to hold a public hearing for this request on August 8, 2022 at 6pm?

Chambers: What is your pleasure Board members? We have a motion and a second to hold a public hearing with this the next board meeting.

Edmondston: August 8th.

Chambers: All in favor.

Supervisor Davis moved, Supervisor Allen seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to move Case 22-SUP305 Jonathan King to public hearing on August 8, 2022 at 6:00 p.m.

Re: Zoning Matters: Introduction of Case 22-SUP306 Landowner Applicant: Lauren and Anne-Marie Prinsloo

Edmondston: The next case for introduction is 22-SUP306. Landowner and applicants are Lauren and Anne Marie Prinsloo at 781 Bransford Road Arvon. Tax Map 41 Parcel 11. This property is in the Marshall magisterial district. It's zoned A-1. The applicant wishes to obtain a special use permit for the purpose of operating and Airbnb Bed and Breakfast, campsites and Event Center events to include but not limited to weddings, reunions business functions with up to 1500 attendees. The applicants are asking the Board of Supervisors to hold a public hearing for this request. This case was introduced to the Planning Commission on April 25, 2022, and a public hearing was held June 27. Planning Commission voted to pass this to the Board of Supervisors with a recommendation of approval. Would it be the wishes of the Board to hold a public hearing on August 8 that 6pm?

Allen: So moved.

Chambers: Motion and a second for public hearing at the next Board meeting. Are there any questions? All in favor?

Supervisor Allen moved, Supervisor Bryant seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to move Case 22-SUP306 Laurens and Anne-Marie Prinsloo to public hearing on August 8, 2022 at 6:00 p.m.

Re: Zoning: Introduction Case 22-ZMA307 Landowner: Elam, Emma, Eli and Jacob Stoltzfus. Applicant: Piedmont Companies

Edmondston: The next case is 22-ZMA307. The landowners are Elam, Emma, Eli and Jacob Stoltzfus at 25766 North James Madison Highway New Canton. The applicant is Piedmont Companies. The property would be Tax Map 69 Parcel 49, which contains 96 acres. The request from Piedmont Companies is to purchase two acres from Stoltzfus landowner, so only the two acres that would be purchased. I do not have a plat for reference as of yet would be zoned B-1 should that request move forward. The current zoning district is A-1 and the request is a zoning map amendment. Piedmont Companies is requesting rezoning from A-1 to B-1 on that two acre portion for the purpose of building and operating a Family Dollar Tree. The applicant is asking the Board of Supervisors to hold a public hearing for this request. This case was introduced to the Planning Commission April 25. Public hearing held June 27. And the Planning Commission voted to pass this to the Board of Supervisors with the recommendation of approval. Would it be the wishes of the Board of Supervisors to hold a public hearing on August 8, that's your next meeting at 6pm?

Matthews: May I make a statement here before we do that? This parcel was being divided into three parcels or two parcels?

Edmondston: The 96-97 acre parcel it would be, the residue would be the 94 ish because the contract would be to purchase two acres from the Stoltzfus 'by Piedmont Companies.

Matthews: But the Piedmont Company, they haven't obtained the property yet so you really you were putting the cart before the horse if we approve this or moving on for a public hearing. I think the contract needs to be taken care of as far as the sale of piece of property. Once that happens then he can come back for his public hearing instead of pushing his own forward without knowing what's going to happen with that piece of property.

Allen: Plus this is the one I was talking about earlier with 660 feet. They're gonna have to get in between each other or the two of them are gonna have to get one driveway.

Matthews: When is the closing on this piece of property supposed to happen?

Allen: Unless you got distance? You know, have you checked the distance?

Matthews: When is it supposed to happen? The closing?

Mr. Stoltzfus stated from the audience so not verbatim, that they had to make sure the ZMA went through before they would close on the property because they weren't going to buy it if it didn't go through.

Chambers: I guess that makes sense.

Allen: Well, you don't know where exactly the exact mark of a two acre are. We know it's two acres.

Stoltzfus stated it was marked.

Matthews: You got a plat, a certified plat?

Edmondston: A preliminary plat from a surveyor?

Matthews: I don't think that's the right way to go with trying to prove something that you know, hadn't been purchased yet. I mean, I'm just saying. I mean, we didn't make that.

Stoltzfus stated that that is how they do it all the time.

Matthews: That's how who does it all the time?

Chambers: I can see, I can see what you're saying. Because nobody wants to something and it not get approved. Yeah. If you can't get it approved that makes sense to me.

Stoltzfus said something about the distance.

Allen: I don't know. What they had on paperwork that from the property line of the health center to where they put their driveways 169 feet, but it still doesn't tell you how far it is from the health centers driveway to their driveway. It have to be another, what? They need a road distance to see how much room they got. It's got to be 660 feet apart.

Matthews: It's two or three options you can do but how do you know which one you're gonna do to until you find out where it's gonna be?

Allen: I mean, that's, that's something we got to get straight to. Is that 660 feet or? I'm pretty sure you can't get people just turn left only or right, because that won't work right there.

Bryant: They may have to get with health center and have just one driveway.

Allen: Yeah, they'd have to agree to that and they hadn't done it yet. You don't have an idea how much footage it is on the highway from one end property to the other on the road?

Stoltzfus said something about 400 feet.

Allen: In your driveway. Ouch. See it's got to be 660 between the health center and the Dollar Tree and there's another 600 between Dollar Tree and your driveway.

Couldn't hear what Stoltzfus said.

Allen: You've got go along ways though buddy. Do you have enough distance to go that way is what I'm saying? You do? Okay. That's just something that's got to be figured out. I'm just trying to help you. When you get ready to put your driveway in, it'd be on yours. Unless you do yours first.

Matthews: Can we get a recommendation from the planning director, coordinator, Mrs. Edmondston on what she feels like what should transpire here moving forward before we make a motion and...

Edmondston: You have a drawing so we do not have a preliminary plat and you could adopt it or you can move forward if at least there was a preliminary plat which would give you a concept of where the new plat would be or the new parcel for the two acres would be located.

Davis: This is my thing, Mrs. Edmondston, I talked to all the adjacent landowners this morning. I didn't have an exact place where it's gonna go. It's not going up against anybody's house. It is gonna be on the health center side. They do not oppose it. But if we move it somewhere else on the property then it's gonna move closer to someone's...It says on this drawing that 1 inch is 12 feet.

Allen: Yeah, I like it where it is.

Matthews: Where does it fall within the guidelines of the 660 feet? Is that what it is gonna do?

Matthews: What you want to do? It's in your district, what you want to do?

Davis: I would like to move on. The 660 feet, that's VDOT. We can't change VDOT.

Allen: Yeah. And I've talked to him about it so they know about it now so hopefully in between then and the public hearing, they can have the information back from them?

Someone spoke from audience but was inaudible.

Allen: He said he could go to back to 400 feet but he said he could cut it back to 400 feet but I've talked to the and he just left and he didn't... he said no. That's only if you go and turn one way. You can't turn the other way. I can go out and turn this way and this way. You can only turn one way. I don't think you can set it up down there. It'd be hard to do. Ain't nobody going to follow it. I wouldn't.

Chambers: Well, what is the pleasure of the board?

Allen: Well we can move it on and hopefully get all this information by next time we come back.

Bryant: We can schedule a public hearing.

Chambers: Motion on the floor to set a public hearing. Can we get a second?

Lann: You can tell me and I can do it from here.

Allen: Yes. Move it on to public hearing. I know that VDOT has the information. I've talked to him all about it.

Chambers: We have a motion by Davis and a second by Bryant. So we can vote on it.

Supervisor Davis moved, Supervisor Bryant seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to move Case 22-ZMA307 Landowner: Elam, Emma, Eli, and Jacob Stoltzfus Applicant Piedmont Companies to public hearing at the August 8, 2022 meeting at 6:00 p.m.

Re: Zoning: Introduction Case 22-ZTASUP309 Landowner James Madison Highway LLC Applicant J. Aaron Revere

Edmondston: The next case for introduction is 22-ZTASUP309. The landowner is James Madison Highway LLC and the applicant is Aaron Revere. This property is Tax Map 125 Parcel 5 Lot 5. It's just under an acre and it's located at Buckingham Center Drive in the Curdsville district. It's currently zoned B-1 and the request before you is to add a zoning text amendment to a list of special uses in a B-1 zoning district for LP and natural gas storage and distribution. And, apply for a special use permit for this purpose. The applicant is asking the Board of Supervisors to hold a public hearing. This case was introduced to the Planning Commission April 25, 2022. And a public hearing was held on June 27. Then the Planning Commission voted to pass this to the Board with a recommendation of approval. Would it be the wishes of the Board of Supervisors to hold a public hearing for this request at your next meeting August 8 at 6pm.?

Chambers: What's the pleasure of the Board?

Matthews: So moved. I'll make a motion.

Davis: Second.

Chambers: Motion by Supervisor Matthews, second by Supervisor Davis to move on to public hearing for next board meeting. All in favor?

Supervisor Matthews moved, Supervisor Davis seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to move Case 22-ZTASUP309 Aaron Revere to public hearing on August 8, 2022 at 6:00 p.m.

Re: Zoning: Introduction Case 22-SUP310 Landowner: Shah Jee LLC Applicant Brian Shaw

Edmondston: The next case is 22-SUP310. The landowner is Shah Jee LLC Brian Shaw is the manager to the landowner and the applicant is Brian Shaw. This property is located a Tax Map 132 Parcel 4, has just 2.91 acres and it's located at 2626 West James Anderson Highway. It is in the James River magisterial district. It's currently zoned A-1. The applicant wishes to obtain a special use permit for the purpose of operating convenience general store. This location building served as a grandfather

general store in the past but is not operated in a time period greater than two years Thus it has lost its grandfathered status. The applicant is asking the Board of Supervisors to hold a public hearing and this case was introduced April 25 and a public hearing was held June 27 with the Planning Commission and the Planning Commission does pass this to you the Board of Supervisors with a recommendation of approval. Would it be the wishes of the Board of Supervisors to hold a public hearing for this request August 8 6pm. at your next meeting?

Chambers: What is the pleasure of the board? Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Matthews to hold this public hearing for special use permit at our August meeting. All in favor.

Supervisor Davis moved, Supervisor Matthews seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to hold a public hearing for Case 22-SUP310 Landowner: Shah Jee LLC and Applicant Brian Shaw.

**Re: Zoning Introduction Case 22-SUP311 Landowner, Elam, Emma, Jacob and Eli Stoltzfus.
Applicant: Elam Stoltzfus**

Edmondston: And the final case I have for you this evening is introduction of Case 22-SUP311. The landowners are Elam, Emma, Jacob and Eli Stoltzfus 25766 North James Madison highway in New Canton. The applicant is Elam Stoltzfus and this property is Tax Map 69 parcel 49. It is located in the Marshall magisterial district. The applicant wishes to obtain a special use permit for the purpose of operating an agricultural based business for feed and supplies. The applicant is asking the Board of Supervisors to hold a public hearing for this request. And this case was introduced to the Planning Commission on April 25, and a public hearing held June 27. And the Planning Commission passes this to you, the Board of Supervisors with a recommendation of approval. Would it be the wishes of the Board of Supervisors to hold a public hearing at your next scheduled meeting on August 8 at 6pm?

Allen: This would be the other 660 foot driveway we are working with. Yeah, you can pass it.

Edmondston: I do believe Mr. Stoltzfus has been working with VDOT in the last couple of days to obtain a new entrance but he'll have those documents he let me know before the meeting to hold a public hearing prior that date.

Chambers: What's the pleasure of the Board?

Allen: So moved.

Chambers: Moved by Supervisor Allen and second by Supervisor Davis and to hold a public hearing at our August 8th meeting. Are there any questions? All in favor?

Supervisor Allen moved, Supervisor Davis seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to move Case 22-SUP311 Elam Stoltzfus to public hearing on August 8, 2022 at 6:00 p.m.

Re: Karl Carter: Consider possibly developing a Goldmining Ordinance

Chambers: Let's move down to M. Department/Agency/Reports and Items of Consideration. Number one, Mr. Carter.

Carter: Yes, Mr. Chairman, as you've all heard tonight, on our agenda tonight is me coming to you guys tonight and asking for you giving me and the county attorney permission to work on a goldmining ordinance. I know several of you have been approached by citizens and community groups about this possibility. I'm asking you tonight if you would like me and the county attorney to work on this and bring you back a draft ordinance next month and you can advertise for a possible public hearing.

Bryant: Motion we do so.

Matthews: Second.

Chambers: Motion by Supervisor Bryant second by Supervisor Matthews that we ask the County Administrator to come back with an ordinance with the County Attorney next month. All in favor?

Supervisor Bryant moved, Supervisor Matthews seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board for the County Administrator and County Attorney to bring back a Goldmining Ordinance at the August 8, 2022 meeting.

Re: McCarthy Gause, Straight Street Request

Carter: Mr. McCarthy Gause is he not here tonight? I can brief you on what he wanted to talk to you about. A couple months ago, he spoke to you guys about the possibility of the county help support some water connections to the Straight Street Building on Route 20. Mr. Gause told you a couple months ago, they've been approved a big grant by a funding agency, approval of a grant for bathrooms, toilets, a bunch of water lines, but you know, that grant has not covered the connection from our mainline to the building. He's asking, or was going to ask tonight, if there's any way that the county can help with those funds? The number he was given I think was about \$9,000 to install those lines to the building. If he was here tonight, that is what he would be asking you tonight. Do you want to send this to the Finance Committee to do stuff and to try to get those funds?

Chambers: We promised him that if he get the figures we would help. And he said the building would be used in case of emergency. They got 100,000 square foot and they'd be willing to do that. In case we

it for a disaster and I think we should help. We promised that we would do it. We would look at funding, you know, helping with the hook up please.

Matthews: Why don't you refer to the Finance Committee and then we maybe talk to CRC about possibly a grant situation for that or help.

Carter: And then also, I've talked to Daniel Queen, our General Properties Supervisor and he said, you know, a lot of stuff could be done in house so it'll save that money. It's something we would not have to bid out or seek a professional.

Chambers: So we can just pass it on to finance committee to taking it up.

Matthews: I'll make a motion in that effect, to pass it to the Finance Committee to take a look at to see how we can help fund.

Davis: I'll second.

Chambers: Motion by Supervisor Matthews, seconded by Supervisor Davis that we will pass on to the Finance Committee to take a look at it. Any questions? All in favor.

Supervisor Matthews moved, Supervisor Davis seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to pass the request of Straight Street for help with funding to install water line from meter to the building to the Finance Committee to take a look at it.

Re: EMS Cody Davis: Consider grant acceptance

Chambers: All right. Next is Mr. Davis.

Katherine Plumb: So my name is Katherine Plumb. I am a paramedic with the Department Emergency Services. And I am here to speak about a grant that we were recently awarded with the Firehouse Subs Public Safety Foundation. So a couple of things. One, we had an awesome committee that helped all of this happen. We could not have done this without Cody, Chief Davis, Brett Gormus, John Fury, who is a part time employee and also a law enforcement officer with James River State Park, and then Kevin from finance. So we have been awarded grant money for the purchase, potential purchase of a UTV vehicle. This is a vehicle designed to facilitate remote rescue and evacuation of a patient in known wilderness and outdoor recreation areas within the county and also, areas get unknown to us where we might need to get a vehicle that can tackle challenging terrain to access a patient in distress. So we began this process in January putting the pieces together for the grant. We submitted our application in April. And this is something that we source three different quotes on as part of the process. We move forward

with a quote from Polaris Government Contracts for this UTV. The UTV will be SPECT with a rescue skid. So what that means is we will have an attendant seat for an EMT or paramedic to provide care. It comes with a stokes basket to facilitate transport of a patient out as well as seating for additional personnel to facilitate that rescue of the patient and equipment transport. This county has proven that need several times, even since Department of Emergency Services has taken over. The most recent example being a search that was facilitated last night. They had several private ATVs and UTVs out there. And this is a resource that would have absolutely served a higher purpose. So we have been awarded a total of \$38,369.15 from the Firehouse Subs Public Safety Foundation. Of the six applications they received during this quarterly grant cycle, we were one of 70 that was funded. This funding is to be released to us via MOU and an account transfer, it has to be that way. In order to facilitate proper titling of the vehicle in the county's name. The other option would be for Firehouse Subs to purchase directly on our behalf. But they cannot do that with a grant request that requires a title. So in order for them to release those funds to us, we need approval from the Board, that we can appropriate those funds to purchase the equipment for the quote that we have received. And that's pretty much where we are at. I would be happy to answer any questions about the process. But that would be our request.

Chambers: Thank you. Any Board member have a question for this lady? I got no problem supporting that. I think it's a good thing.

Allen: I make a motion to accept.

Chambers: Motion by Supervisor Allen, second by Supervisor Bryant, we accept that. Thank you. All in favor.

Matthews: Good job. Thank you.

Plump: Appreciate your vote.

Supervisor Allen moved, Supervisor Bryant seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to accept the grant from Firehouse Subs Public Safety Foundation to purchase an UTV for the EMS Department.

Re: Finance: Consider Engagement Letter for Auditing Services from Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates

Carter: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman, in your packet tonight you should have a letter from Robinson, Farmer, Cox laying out their audit scoping procedures that are going to perform this upcoming fiscal year audit. We do this every year. It's one of those things, we have to agree on allowing them to come in and audit our books before they can come in. And so it's just a standard letter stating what they're going to do for

the county and what procedures will be performed. So we just need you guys to approve this so they can perform their audit for the fiscal year 21-22.

Chambers: Motion by supervisor Allen, second by Supervisor Bryant that we approve this. Anybody have any question? All in favor?

Supervisor Allen moved, Supervisor Bryant seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to approve the Engagement Letter for auditing services from Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates to perform the audit for FY22.

Re: County Attorney Matters

Addendum to agreement and sales contract with Atlantic Investment Corp.

E.M. Wright, Jr.: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have three matters I'd like to discuss with you tonight. The first is the addendum to the Industrial Park Agreement. As you know, the Board approved the sale of the property at a previous meeting. It went to the Planning Commission not for the contract, but there were some rezoning that's required. And the introduction of the property of the application to the commissioners, there was several discussions in exchange and particularly about the pool house and community building. The developer offered upon maturity to ensure the construction and the completion of those facilities. The contract is actually with the Board and not with the Planning Commission. And it was a proffer the Planning Commission can't change that contract. So with taking that information I prepared an addendum which I understand has been circulated to you that incorporates the bond security into the contract. Developers reviewed this addendum it was in agreement. So where we are tonight, you can take action if you want to add that and authorize the county administrator to sign the addendum on behalf of the County or if you want to wait till the Planning Commission acts and sends you something. It is your pleasure. We'll be happy to answer any questions on that topic.

Chambers: Any questions for the county attorney? What is the pleasure of the Board?

Matthews: We can just wait until the Planning Commission puts their stamp of approval on it.

Wright: Actually, they don't get to approve it. But can I relate to them the job will entertain it when it whatever will come from the Planning Commission is consistent.

Update on Dillwyn Medical Building

Wright: The second item I want to talk about is Dillwyn Medical Building. We haven't considered that for a little while. I had communication from Sentra Friday that indicates they are preparing the final

closing papers. There were a couple of questions about a title. Actually, the title examiner had said that the road goes in by it was not a public road. We got straight on that. Then they had Question about writing reversion at the Town of Dillwyn had reserved. They had missed a document that Dillwyn had already released that right of reversion. They've got all that information now, so should be coming to closing all that pretty quickly. So that's some good news.

Drainage Easement for Hotel:

Wright: The final thing I want to talk about is the hotel. That is well underway. We haven't talked about in quite a while and some people think it's gone away. Now with a project like that it requires a substantial permitting and one of the things they're working on right now is a permit with DEQ for drainage. The property, we'll have some drainage coming off of it when it is paved and the buildings are constructed. We had several years ago had agreed to give an easement down the side for connection of the waterline and those types of things. They need a drainage easement. That's out of my wheelhouse as far as understanding it. Lyn Hill is the individual who's been working with the developer and also with DEQ. Where we started for what they asked for initially, we said, hey, that's too much, they've pared it down, and it's before DEQ to get approved now, but they will need an easement to accomplish that. The easement they're talking about, as you're standing on Wingo Road, looking at where the hotel is going, you know that the solid waste collection site is to your left, there's a little bit of land in between where the hotel will go. It will adjoin the hotel property, and be maybe 50 or 100 feet wide, almost a big portion of it already being in what we already said they can have. It has a water diffuser type, water comes off, it just diffuses the water and lets it run down across the rest of the landscape there. So if you think that easement is appropriate, I'd ask that you authorize the county administrator to sign that easement once DEQ is approved, and the appropriate language has been developed. If you want to wait to the DEQ, that's fine, too.

Allen: I make a motion.

Chambers: We've got a motion by Supervisor Allen, second by Supervisor Matthews that we approve this. All in favor.

Supervisor Allen moved, Supervisor Matthews seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to approve the Drainage Easement for the hotel and authorize the county administrator to sign documents.

Wright: Those are the items I had. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Re: County Administrator's Report

Courthouse Renovation Update:

Carter: I got a couple of updates. The courthouse renovation project that you guys approved a couple months ago. We've been working on contract language with the county attorney and their attorney on getting that contract finalized so we can start the process. We just got that finalized maybe a week and a half ago. So we should be getting the final document for me to sign and move forward that project. So in the upcoming weeks, you will see some community meetings. I think Vice Chairman Chambers and Chairman Miles are on that committee. So we'll have some kickoff meetings with staff and then people in that area to get that project up and going.

Recycling Update:

Also on project updates. Replinish, the recycling program that you guys okayed for us to work with. We're still working on that contract, if you look at their agreement they have online is mainly done for like single organizations not done for county local governments. So some of the things they have in there is not pertaining to us. Some of the things we want to be in there, it's not in there. So we're working back and forth with them on getting some right document so that we know both sides are fine with their agreement once you sign it. That has not been completed yet. We hope to get that done pretty soon.

Request for use of Community Center:

The second item I have on my list of things is the request for the community center action item. I'm seeing this from the recreation department and I think I passed that off to you guys before the meeting. There was a request to use the Community Center on July 17, which is pretty soon for a firearm safety seminar. As you know, with the recent events in the world, the gun train is a big hot topic right now. So I think the recreation director, he did not want to approve this without the Board knowing about it. At least approve it themselves. So its up to you guys if you want to approve this gun training seminar or I told Todd that if we do approve it either way, it would have to be no live ammo there. There would just have to be a training thing just on how to use safety weapons.

Matthews: Are they paying the fee?

Carter: Yes, they're paying the fee.

Matthews: I'm good with it.

Bryant: I make a motion that we accept it.

Matthews: It's our second amendment rights.

Chambers: A motion by Supervisor Bryant, second by Supervisor Matthews that we will accept this, approve it. Any question? All in favor.

Supervisor Bryant moved, Supervisor Matthews seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to approve for the community center to be used for gun safety class.

Carter: Thank you. That concludes my report. Mr. Chairman.

Re: Other Board Matters

Chambers: Thank you. Other board matters. Any Board member have any?

Re: Executive Closed Session

Chambers: Now we need to go into Executive Session.

Allen: I make a motion that we go into closed session for Discussion of the award of a public contract involving the expenditure of public funds, including interviews of bidders or offerors, and discussion of the terms or scope of such contract, where discussion in an open session would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body. §2.2-3711.A.30

Matthews: Second.

Chambers: We have a motion by Supervisor Allen, second by Supervisor Matthews that we go in executive closed session under the Code that was read. All in favor.

Supervisor Allen moved, Supervisor Matthews seconded and was unanimously carried by the Board to enter into executive closed session under the above stated Code of Virginia.

Re: Return to Regular Session

Chambers: We need a motion to return to regular session and certification that to the best of each Board member's knowledge only business matters related to the codes of which the executive meeting was convened was discussed or considered in the closed executive session.

Motion by Supervisor Davis, second by Supervisor Bryant to return to regular session and certification that to the best of each Board member's knowledge only business matters related to the codes of which the executive meeting was convened was discussed or considered in the closed executive session.

Re: Action as a result of Executive Closed Session

No action needed we're taking it to the executive session.

Re: Adjourn

There being no further business to discuss, Vice Chairman Chambers declared the meeting of July 11, 2022 adjourned.

ATTEST:

Karl R. Carter
County Administrator

Joe N. Chambers, Jr.
Vice Chairman